

Houston South Central MIRECC VA Advanced Fellowship Program in Mental Illness Research and Treatment

2015-2016 Training Manual

Natalie E. Hundt, PhD (Director)

Training Committee

Melinda A. Stanley, PhD

Jeffrey A. Cully, PhD

Michael Kauth, PhD

Lilian Dindo, PhD

Jan Lindsay, PhD

Ellen Teng, PhD

Mark E. Kunik, MD, MPH (ex-officio)

Table of Contents

I. General Overview and Recruitment	4
II. Application Procedures	4
III. Background.....	5
IV. Philosophy.....	5
Training Focused on the Scientist-Practitioner Model.....	5
Individualized Training	5
Collaborative Training	5
Training Sensitive to Individual Differences.....	6
V. Goals, Objectives, Competencies, and Exit Criteria.....	6
Goal 1: Scientific Thinking and Research Skills.....	6
Goal 2: Intervention, Consultation, and Assessment Skills	7
Goal 3: Education, Teaching, and Supervision.....	8
Goal 4: Administrative and Systemic Skills.....	8
Goal 5: Professional Development.....	8
Goal 6: Develop Sensitivity to Cultural and Individual Diversity	9
Exit Criteria for Fellows	11
VI. Processes and Methods	11
Mentoring and Supervision.....	11
Research Participation	17
Conferences and Workshops	20
Presentations (Local, Regional, National).....	20
Clinical Experiences.....	20
Clinical Rotations and Supervisors.....	21
Didactics and Educational Opportunities	24

VII. Resources	27
Description of the General Training Setting and Primary Training Sites	27
Secondary Institutional Affiliations and Resources	29
Financial Resources.....	31
VIII. Quality-Control Activities.....	32
MIRECC Leadership Committee	32
Houston SC MIRECC Psychology Fellowship Training Committee ..	32
Houston SC MIRECC Psychology Training Director.....	32
Evaluation Procedures	32
Fellow Evaluations and Feedback.....	32
Program-Evaluation Procedures.....	33
Remediation and Due-Process Procedures.....	34

I. General Overview and Recruitment

The Houston South Central (SC) Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center (MIRECC) Fellowship is a 2-year postdoctoral clinical research training program in advanced psychology. The Fellowship program has three main components: research, education, and clinical care. Applied clinical research and education activities make up 75% of Fellowship training, and formal clinical training rotations make up 25% of the Fellowship.

The SC MIRECC core research areas include:

- Evidence-based psychotherapies (Hundt, Cully, Teng, Stanley, Kauth, Dindo)
- Primary care mental health (Stanley, Cully, Kauth, Barrera)
- Medical psychology (Naik, Dindo)
- Geropsychology and Dementia (Cully, Stanley, Kunik, Naik)
- Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Teng, Hundt, Lindsay)
- Other anxiety disorders (panic, OCD, generalized anxiety disorder) (Stanley, Teng, Barrera)
- Outcome research, intervention development, clinical trials (Stanley, Kunik, Cully, Teng, Dindo)
- Health-services research (Kunik, Cully, Stanley, Naik, Hundt)
- Implementation science (Kunik, Kauth, Cully, Naik)
- Distance-based or technology-based mental health interventions (Kauth, Cully, Stanley, Kunik, Teng, Naik, Lindsay)

II. Application Procedures

Applicants for postdoctoral fellowships must be graduates of American Psychology Association (APA)-accredited doctoral programs in clinical or counseling psychology and must have completed APA-accredited internships. All requirements for the doctoral degree must be completed prior to the start of the fellowship year. Persons with a PhD in another area of psychology who meet APA criteria for respecialization training in clinical or counseling psychology are also eligible. Applicants must be US citizens. As an equal opportunity training program, the SC MIRECC welcomes and strongly encourages applications from all qualified candidates, regardless of gender, racial, ethnic, sexual orientation, disability, or other minority status.

All applicants are required to submit:

- 1) a cover letter that outlines their area of research interest, description of proposed research, career goals, and fit with SC MIRECC mentors
- 2) Curriculum Vita
- 3) Doctoral-program transcript (copies acceptable),
- 4) Three letters of recommendation.
- 5) Publication reprints are encouraged.

Please send all materials to:

Natalie Hundt, Fellowship Director
Michael E. DeBaKey Veterans Affairs Medical Center (152--Nabisco)
2002 Holcombe Blvd

Houston, TX 77030

Or email application materials to Natalie.Hundt@va.gov. If emailing, please have letter writers email recommendation letters directly to Dr. Hundt from their work or university account.

Applications are being accepted on an ongoing basis. **For priority consideration, please submit materials by December 15.**

Our selection criteria are based on a goodness-of-fit model. On the one hand, we look for applicants who possess the knowledge and skills necessary to contribute to and function well in our postdoctoral program. At the same time, we look for individuals whose professional goals are well suited to the experiences we have to offer so that our setting will provide them with a productive postdoctoral experience. The ideal candidate has demonstrated strengths in research productivity, academic preparation, personal characteristics, and clinical skills related to the profession. Because our training program emphasizes clinical research under a scientist-practitioner model in a public-sector setting, we prefer applicants with documented research abilities and experience working with clinical populations served by our institutions.

Each application is initially reviewed for eligibility after all materials are received. A selection committee, composed of training committee members, reviews all written materials and selects top candidates for individual telephone or in-person interviews. Final rankings and offers are determined by consensus of the committee based on written and interview information.

Current Fellows are one of the best sources of information about our postdoctoral program. We strongly encourage applicants to talk with current Fellows about their satisfaction with the training experience. Please feel free to call or email Dr. Cully and to coordinate a meeting with one of our current Fellows.

III. Background

The MIRECCs were established by Congress to bring best practices in mental health care into the clinical settings of the VA. They conduct research and produce clinical educational programs. The official mission statement of the MIRECCs is, "To generate new knowledge about the causes and treatments of mental disorders, apply new findings to model clinical programs, and widely disseminate new findings through education to improve the quality of Veterans' lives and their daily functioning in their recovering from mental illness." The SC MIRECC training at the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center (MEDVAMC) in Houston is one of two SC MIRECC training sites (the other is in Little Rock, AR). The focus of the South Central MIRECC is to improve mental health care for rural and underserved Veterans.

IV. Philosophy

Training Focused on the Scientist-Practitioner Model

The SC MIRECC psychology program focuses on the scientific practice of psychology and subscribes to the scientist-practitioner model. Our approach to training encourages Fellows to conduct applied research that informs practice and to conduct clinical practice that is consistent with the current state of scientific knowledge.

Individualized Training

The 2 years of postdoctoral training allow for the consolidation of professional identity, and further development of professional skills and competencies. Because postdoctoral Fellows function at a more advanced level than pre-doctoral interns, they are capable of assuming greater responsibility for research projects, clinical care, and teaching activities. We also strive to build professional identity and responsibility through involvement in the training process. Toward this end, Fellows are called upon to take responsibility for many decisions that affect their learning experiences. With guidance from mentors, Fellows construct their own plan for training and develop strategies for achieving goals and experiences during the Fellowship.

Collaborative Training

The complexity of issues tackled by today's professional psychologist – clinical, research, or administrative efforts – requires collaboration and cooperation with other professionals. Thus, an important part of professional development at the postdoctoral level involves experience working as a colleague with other psychologists – and professionals of other disciplines – to achieve common goals. Fellows are expected to work and learn with trainees from a variety of disciplines and to establish collaborations with other practitioners and research leaders in clinical and research projects.

Training Sensitive to Individual Differences

Sensitivity to individual cultural differences and an understanding of the underlying cultural and social forces that operate in a pluralistic nation are especially relevant in a public-sector healthcare system that provides care to a great diversity of patients, many of whom are socially disenfranchised or marginalized. For these reasons, the training program places high value on attracting a diverse group of Fellows and maintaining a continual awareness of cultural issues that affect professional practice. The program recognizes that attracting a diverse group of Fellows is important in providing quality patient care and a quality educational environment and in creating a fair and respectful work atmosphere.

V. Goals, Objectives, Competencies, and Exit Criteria

The purpose of the SC MIRECC Special Fellowship in Advanced Psychology is to train professional psychologists for eventual leadership roles in research, education, and clinical services, particularly in academic and medical care settings (e.g., the VA). Although many Fellows pursue careers focused predominantly upon academic research and education in mental health, successful graduates of the program also will include those who pursue leadership careers that emphasize education and clinical contributions in the field of mental health.

By the end of the Fellowship Program, Fellows pursuing academic clinical-research careers should be ready to submit applications for career development awards (e.g., VA career development award or National Institutes of Health [NIH] K-Award). Fellows should also be ready to pursue entry-level leadership roles, which integrate state-of-the-art research, education, and clinical approaches to mental health in the VA healthcare system and other academic institutions.

The following is a list of training goals, objectives, competencies, and training methods for the SC MIRECC psychology fellowship program.

Goal 1: Scientific Thinking and Research Skills: Fellows will acquire competencies in several aspects of clinical research and scholarships. Key competency areas are discussed below.

a) Integration of Science and Practice: Fellows will learn to utilize the scientific literature to guide their clinical practice, and will use “lessons learned” from clinical practice to foster and shape their scientific hypotheses. Fellows will learn to use their emerging competencies in clinical science to identify solutions to emerging clinical problems they encounter in practice and will systematically evaluate the effectiveness of their clinical work (e.g., monitoring patient outcomes).

b) Conducting Clinically Informed Research: Fellows will gain advanced skills in conducting clinically focused research pertinent to the mental health needs of today’s Veterans.

Competencies

- 1A. Design of important research questions
 - a. Conceptualization and design of scientific research projects
 - b. Formation of research questions with high potential for future grant funding
- 1B. Administration and oversight of research projects
 - a. Assembling research teams (investigators and staff)
 - b. Project and staff-management abilities
 - c. Collecting and analyzing data (using databases)
- 1C. Presentation of research findings
 - a. Posters and oral presentations
 - b. Peer-reviewed first-author manuscripts (submission of a minimum of one first-author publication with an expectation of two first-author publications by the end of Fellowship)
 - c. Peer-reviewed co-authored manuscripts (submission of a minimum of one co-authored paper with the expectation of two or more co-authored publications by the end of Fellowship)
 - d. Job Talk
- 1D. Grant Writing
 - a. Knowledge of available funding opportunities
 - b. Understanding of how past/current projects relate to obtaining future grant funding
 - c. Development of advanced grant-writing skills ("grantsmanship") through mentoring and workshops/seminars

Training Methods/ Experiences

Mentoring, project experiences as co-investigator, project and grant-writing experiences as lead investigator, didactics, MIRECC Grant-Writing Scholars (GWS) Program, National MIRECC Grant Writing Seminar, attendance at national conferences and workshops, participation in local grant reviews and/or journal manuscript reviews.

Goal 2: Intervention, Consultation, and Assessment Skills: Fellows will develop advanced competencies in the use of empirically derived treatments and systemic means of psycho-diagnostic and neuropsychological evaluation of patients.

Competencies

- 2A. Ability to effectively work with diverse populations and provide appropriate intervention in response to a range of presenting problems and treatment concerns, with particular emphasis on applying and/or adapting evidence-based interventions
- 2B. Demonstration of effective consultation skills with other professionals by providing expert counsel regarding difficult clinical matters
- 2C. Ability to appropriately assess, evaluate, and conceptualize a broad range of patients, including those with complex presentations and complicated comorbidities; ability to conduct assessment in a culturally competent manner with an awareness of current ethical and professional standards

Training Methods / Experiences

Supervision, clinical-rotation experiences, didactics, clinical/intervention case presentation

Goal 3: Education, Teaching, and Supervision: Fellows will gain advanced skills in the supervision of psychology trainees including interns and practicum students, and gain experience providing psycho-education to patients, family members and providers.

Competencies

- 3A. Ability to give presentations in a formal didactic setting; to teach medical students, residents, and psychology interns in medical-center training settings; and to educate and support other professionals in medical-center settings
- 3B. To establish emerging skills in supervision, as well as knowledge of, and sensitivity to, ethical, legal, and diversity issues involved in the provision of supervision

Training Methods/Experiences

Mentoring, didactics, clinical supervision, observation, job talks, clinical-case presentation, conference presentations, experiences as lead project investigator

Goal 4: Administrative and Systemic Skills: Fellows will gain experience pertinent to organizational management and administration pertinent to the career development of clinical psychologists and scientists. Fellows may choose additional training experiences that facilitate the development of advanced competencies in program evaluation.

Competencies

- 4A. Advanced level of knowledge of the VA and mental healthcare systems
- 4B. Awareness of, and sensitivity to, systemic issues that affect the delivery of services, especially those that involve other professionals and disciplines, as well as diversity factors that affect healthcare disparities and/or underserved populations
- 4C. Advanced administrative skills, as demonstrated by any of the following: ability to use VA administrative databases; participation in ongoing evaluations of clinical programs,

development and implementation of evidence-based interventions and patient-care services; and/or supervised participation in program administration

Training Methods/Experiences

Exposure to database projects, health-services didactics and projects, participation in clinical-program evaluation and/or development of clinical programming under the direction of mentoring and clinical supervision, exposure to administrative and healthcare committee memberships to better understand how clinical practice is informed by research

Goal 5: Professional Development: Fellows will develop a strong professional identity and confidence and professional demeanor commensurate with their entry-level status in the profession.

Competencies

- 5A. Continued growth in professional development and identity over the 2-year postdoctoral training program (Fellows are encouraged to obtain psychology licensure by the end of the second year of fellowship)
- 5B. In accordance with their advanced training, assumption of increasing professional responsibility for their research, patient-care, consultation and teaching activities
- 5C. Advanced knowledge in ethical, legal and diversity issues related to all of above objectives, and conduct in accordance with these principles and current professional standards.
- 5D. Generation of specific goals for the 2-year Fellowship, as well as aspirational 5-year career goals

Training Methods / Experiences

Mentoring, didactics – career development tutorial, formal coursework – Baylor College of Medicine (BCM) and The University of Texas School of Public Health-Houston (UTSPH) and the University of Houston (UH)

Goal 6: Develop Sensitivity to Cultural and Individual Diversity: Fellows will further develop their awareness and appreciation of cultural and individual differences and will attain cultural competence regarding the delivery of mental health services to a diverse cadre of Veterans.

Competencies:

- 6A. Respect for cultural and individual diversity and practice abilities completed at the level consistent with standards for independent professional practice.
- 6B. Respect and sensitivity for cultural and individual diversity and integration of such knowledge within research practices including project development and data interpretation.
- 6C. Attendance at required didactics which address and discuss current topics in cultural and individual diversity and disparities in health care.

Exit Criteria for Fellows

(Determined by the preceptor, using additional information and evaluations from mentors and supervisors)

1. Completion of 4160 hours during the 2-year fellowship period
 - a. Direct clinical-service requirement, 25%
 - b. 75% time allocation to applied clinical research and educational experiences
2. Research Experiences
 - a. Successful research evaluations from preceptor and mentors (all ratings at "appropriate progress"/"fully satisfactory" or better)
 - b. One first-author manuscript submitted (required), with a second first-author manuscript expected but not required
 - c. One second-author manuscript submitted (required); other second-author papers expected but not required
 - d. Successful completion of at least one job talk before the end of fellowship
 - e. Participation on 1 or 2 projects as co-investigator
 - f. Submission of a pilot study grant (expected but not required); if not completed, Fellow must secure funding as part of their general Fellowship resources to allow completion of a research project. Non-competitive project funding (up to \$7,000) is available by written request (proposal) to the MIRECC director.
3. Clinical Experiences
 - a. Successful clinical rotations (all supervisor and preceptor ratings of "fully satisfactory"/"appropriate progress" or better)
4. Teaching and Supervision
 - a. Successful completion of job talk before the end of fellowship
 - b. Completion of presentations at the SC MIRECC retreat and National MIRECC conferences (years 1 and 2 – when available)
 - c. Completion of teaching experiences at the local academic level (e.g., intern seminars, grand rounds, etc.)
 - d. Successful provision of clinical- and research-staff supervision (all supervisor, preceptor, and mentor ratings of "fully satisfactory"/"appropriate progress" or better)
5. Administrative and Systemic Experiences

Successful completion of at least one administrative/systemic experience with ratings from preceptor and mentors of "fully satisfactory"/"appropriate progress" or better.

Administrative/systemic experiences may include any of the following:

 - a. Exposure to VA databases.
 - b. Participation in program evaluation.
 - c. Development or improvement of evidence-based patient-care services
 - d. Participation in program administration to understand and/or improve care processes
6. Educational Experiences
 - a. Attendance at required educational activities (e.g., MIRECC V-tel; Health Services Research and Development (HSR&D) career-development and foundations in health services research seminar series)
 - b. Completion of a grant-writing workshop (or regular attendance at MIRECC grant writing seminars with Dr. Ruth O'Hara)

- c. Attendance at the SC MIRECC retreat and National MIRECC conferences (years 1 and 2) – when possible
- d. Attendance at other educational experiences as determined by the Fellow and his/her mentor team

7. Professional Development

- a. Successful career-development ratings from preceptor and mentors (appropriate progress or better)
- b. Successful completion of the EPPP licensing examination (expected but not required)
- c. Clinical and research practice at the level of an autonomous practitioner by year 2 of the program

8. No major ethical or professional lapses without appropriate remediation as feasible and as determined by the mentoring team and SC MIRECC Psychology Fellowship Training Committee

Recent Graduate Placement

Successful graduates of the fellowship will go on to take a VA position in research, administration, or direct clinical service, or university faculty position. The eight most recent graduates took the following positions after fellowship:

	Number (n = 8)	Percent
VA Research Faculty	3	37.5%
VA Staff Psychologist	2	25%
VA Administration/Education	1	12.5%
University Faculty	2	25%

VI. Processes and Methods

Mentoring and Supervision

Preceptor

Working with the interests of the Fellow, the SC MIRECC Fellowship Training Committee will match each Fellow with a psychology preceptor who will oversee all aspects of the Fellow's research and clinical, educational, and career-development activities. Ideally, preceptors also serve as the Fellow's primary research mentor. Preceptors are required to meet with the Fellow on a weekly basis for a minimum of one hour of individual supervision. A full listing of SC MIRECC preceptors can be found in Table 1.

Research Mentors

Each Fellow will work with his/her preceptor to select a research mentoring team. This team is made up of individual research mentors who often vary in their disciplines and sometimes in their physical locations. The primary goal of the research mentoring team is to provide the Fellow with a diverse network of faculty to support his/her research initiatives; thus, it is often beneficial to have a diverse mentoring team consisting of national experts both within and outside the VA. Typical mentoring teams consist of primary, secondary, and content mentors. Primary and secondary mentors address core research progress and development, while

content mentors generally support a specific aspect of training or development (e.g., statistics, qualitative methods, etc.). Research mentors generally meet with Fellows on a weekly or bi-weekly basis for individual supervision/mentoring, but the final determination of scheduling depends on the needs of the Fellow and the availability of the mentor.

On a quarterly basis, the Fellow, preceptor (and Director if not the preceptor), and research mentors meet to discuss the Fellow's progress and assist with professional development and planning. These "Mentoring Team" meetings serve to ensure consistency of mentoring and clarity of Fellow goals and objectives during the training program.

A partial listing of SC MIRECC Mentors can be found in Table 2.

Clinical Supervisors

Fellows work with their preceptor (and mentoring team) to identify meaningful clinical rotations during the Fellowship and then approach and negotiate clinical opportunities with individual clinical supervisors. Fellows are expected to provide 8-10 hours per week of clinical work on a formalized clinical rotation. The duration of this experience is flexible and can be tailored to the needs of the Fellow, working with each clinical supervisor. Ideally, Fellows take part in a minimum of two clinical rotations during the 2-year fellowship. During each clinical rotation, the clinical supervisor has direct oversight of the Fellow's clinical work and provides evaluations of the Fellow to the preceptor on a 6-month basis and/or at the end of the rotation.

Clinical supervisors are required to provide 1 hour a week of individual supervision. They may also serve on the Fellow's research mentoring team. A full listing of current clinical rotations and supervisors can be found in the "Clinical Rotations and Supervisors" section. With an increasing focus on evidence-based practices and measurement of care quality within VA, Fellows may have the opportunity to participate in program evaluation and/or program development as part of or in addition to their formal clinical rotation.

Table 1. SC MIRECC Preceptors

Preceptor	Research Areas	Recent Publications
Jeffrey Cully, PhD Clinical Psychologist	implementation research, CBT in primary care, chronic / medical illness, CBT training, geropsych, health services research	Cully JA, Armento MEA, Mott J, Nadorff MR, Naik AD, Stanley MA, Sorocco KH, Kunik ME, Petersen NJ, Kauth, MR (2012). Brief cognitive behavioral therapy in primary care: a hybrid type 2 patient randomized effectiveness-implementation design. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 7 (64), doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-64. Cully JA, Breland JY, Robertson S, Utech AE, Hundt N, Kunik ME, Petersen NJ, Masozera N, Rao R, Naik AD (2014). Behavioral health coaching for rural veterans with diabetes and depression: blending effectiveness and implementation. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 14:191, http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/14/191 . Mignogna J, Hundt N, Kauth MR, Kunik ME, Sorocco KH, Naik AD, Stanley MA, York-Ward K, Cully

		<p>JA* (2014). Implementing brief cognitive behavioral therapy in primary care: a pilot study. <i>Translational Behavioral Medicine: Practice, Policy, and Research</i>, 4 (2): 175-183. *senior author</p> <p>Mott JM, Hundt NE, Sansgiry S, Mignogna J, Cully JA* (2014). Changes in Psychotherapy Utilization among Veterans with Depression, Anxiety and PTSD. <i>Psychiatric Services</i>, 65, 1, 106-112. *senior author</p>
Ellen Teng, PhD Clinical Psychologist	PTSD & comorbid anxiety disorders; panic disorder; treatment development and outcome research; multicultural mental health	<p>Teng EJ, Hiatt EL, McClair V, Kunik ME, Frueh BC, Stanley MA. Efficacy of PTSD treatment for comorbid panic disorder: A critical review and future directions for treatment research. <i>Clin Psychol: Sci Pract</i>, 2013, in press.</p> <p>Barrera TL, Mott JM, Hofstein RF, Teng EJ. (2013). A meta-analytic review of exposure in group cognitive behavioral therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder. <i>Clin Psychol Rev</i> 2013;33:24-32.</p> <p>Teng EJ, Friedman LC. (2009). Increasing mental health awareness and appropriate service use among older Chinese-Americans: A pilot intervention. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2009; 76(1):143-146.</p>
Melinda Stanley, PhD Clinical Psychologist	Late-life anxiety, OCD, CBT, community-academic partnerships, integration of religion and spirituality into CBT, training non-traditional providers	<p>Jameson JP, Shrestha S, Escamilla M, Clark S, Wilson N, Kunik M, Zeno D, Harris TB, Scott-Gurnell K, Stanley M. Establishing community partnerships to support late-life anxiety research: Lessons learned from the Calmer Life project. <i>Aging Ment Health</i> 2012; 16(7): 874-83.</p> <p>Shrestha S, Armento MEA, Bush AL, Huddleston C, Zeno D, Jameson JP, Evans-Hudnall G, Harris T, Scott-Gurnell K, Kunik ME, Wilson N, Stanley MA. (in press). Pilot findings from a community-based treatment program for late-life anxiety. <i>Int J Pers Cent Med</i> 2012;2(3):400-409.</p> <p>Stanley MA, Wilson N, Novy DM, Rhoades H, Wagener P, Greisinger AJ, Cully JA, Kunik ME. Cognitive behavior therapy for older adults with generalized anxiety disorder in primary care: A randomized clinical trial. <i>JAMA</i> 2009;301:1460-1467.</p> <p>Stanley, M. A., Wilson, N. L., Amspoker, A. B., Kraus-Schuman, C., Wagener, P. D., Calleo, J. S., ... Kunik, M. E. (2014). Lay providers can deliver effective cognitive behavior therapy for older adults with generalized anxiety disorder: A randomized trial. <i>Depression and Anxiety</i>, 31, 391-401.</p>
Michael Kauth, PhD	implementation	Kauth MR, Sullivan G, Cully J, Blevins D. Facilitating

Clinical Psychologist	and dissemination of educational interventions, coping with illness, human sexuality, LGBT health.	<p>practice changes in mental health clinics: A guide for implementation development in health care systems. <i>Psychol Serv</i> 2011;8(1): 36-47.</p> <p>Kauth MR, Sullivan G, Blevins D, Cully JA, Said Q, Teasdale TA, Landes RD. Employing external facilitation to implement cognitive behavioral therapy in VA clinics: A pilot study. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2010;5.</p> <p>Kauth, M.R., Shipherd, J.C., Lindsay, J., Blosnich, J.R., Brown, G.R., & Jones, K. (in press). Access to care for transgender veterans in VHA: 2006-2013. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i>.</p> <p>Kauth, M.R., Meier, C., & Latini, D.M. (2014). A review of sexual health among lesbian, gay, and bisexual veterans. <i>Current Sexual Health Reports</i>. Published first online. DOI 10.1007/s11930-014-0018-6</p> <p>Adler, G., Pritchett, L.F., Kauth, M.R., & Nadorff, D. (2014, January). A pilot project to improve access to telepsychotherapy at rural clinics. <i>Telemedicine and e-Health</i>. 20(1), 1-3. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2013.0085</p>
Mark E. Kunik, MD, MPH	geropsychiatry, dementia, caregiving, health services research	<p>Bass DM, Judge KS, Lynn Snow A, Wilson NL, Morgan R, Looman WJ, Mccarthy CA, Maslow K, Moye JA, Randazzo R, Garcia-Maldonado M, Elbein R, Odenheimer G, Kunik ME. Caregiver outcomes of partners in dementia care: Effect of a care coordination program for veterans with dementia and their family members and friends. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2013.</p> <p>Godwin KM, Mills WL, Anderson JA, Kunik ME. Technology-driven interventions for caregivers of persons with dementia: A systematic review. <i>Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen</i> 2013;28(3):216-22.</p> <p>Kunik ME, Snow AL, Davila JA, Steele AB, Balasubramanyam V, Doody RS, Schulz PE, Kalavar JS, Morgan RO. Causes of aggressive behavior in patients with dementia. <i>J Clin Psychiatry</i> 2010;71(9):1145-52.</p> <p>Bass DM, Judge KS, Snow AL, Wilson NL, Morgan RO, Maslow K, Randazzo R, Moye JA, Odenheimer GL, Archambault E, Elbein R, Pirraglia P, Teasdale TA, McCarthy CA, Looman WJ, Kunik ME: A Controlled Trial of "Partners in Dementia Care:" Veteran Outcomes After 6 and 12 Months. <i>Alzheimer's Research and Therapy</i>. 2014; 6:9; DOI: 10.1186/alzrt242 URL: http://alzres.com/content/6/1/9</p>
Natalie E. Hundt, PhD	PTSD, evidence	Hundt, N.E., Barrera, T., Robinson, A., & Cully, J.A.

	based psychotherapies, peer support, guided self-help, access to psychotherapy	(2014). A systematic review of cognitive behavioral therapy for depression in Veterans. <i>Military Medicine</i> , 179(9), 942-949. Hundt, N.E., Robinson, A., Arney, J., Stanley, M.A., & Cully, J.A., (in press, Jan 2015). Veterans' perspectives on benefits and drawbacks of peer support for PTSD. <i>Military Medicine</i> . Hundt, N.E., Mott, J.M., Miles, S.R., Arney, J., Cully, J.A., & Stanley, M.A. (in press, Jan 2015). Veterans' perspectives on initiating evidence-based psychotherapy for PTSD. <i>Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy</i> .
Lilian Dindo, PhD	Implementing brief behavioral interventions in medical populations; Medical/psychiatric comorbidity; Acceptance and Commitment Therapy	Dindo, L. (in press). One-Day Acceptance and Commitment Training Workshops in Medical Populations. <i>Current Opinion in Psychology</i> . Dindo, L., Gindes, H., Marchman, J., Fiedorowicz, J. (in press). A brief behavioral intervention targeting mental health risk factors for vascular disease: a pilot study. <i>Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics</i> . Dindo, L., Turvey, C., Marchman, J., Recober, A., O'Hara, M. (2014). Depression and Disability in Migraine: The Role of Pain-Acceptance and Values-Based Living. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Medicine</i> . Dindo, L., Recober, A., Marchman, J., O'Hara, M., & Turvey, C. (2014). One-Day Behavioral Intervention in Depressed Migraine Patients: Effects on Headache. <i>Headache</i> , 54, 528-538. Dindo, L., Recober, A., Marchman, J., Turvey, C., O'Hara, M. (2012). One-Day Behavioral Treatment for Patients with Comorbid Depression and Migraine: a pilot study. <i>Behaviour Research and Therapy</i> , 50, 537-543.
Jan Lindsay, PhD	Substance abuse, PTSD, telehealth	Lindsay, J.A., Kauth, M.R. Hudson, S., Martin, L.A., Ramsey, D.J., Daily, L., Rader, J. (2015). Implementation of Video Telehealth to Improve Access to Evidence-Based Psychotherapy for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. <i>Telemedicine and e-Health</i> , 21(6). Lindsay, J. A., Minard, C. G., Hudson, S., Green, C. E., & Schmitz, J. M. (2014). Using prize-based incentives to enhance daily interactive voice response (IVR) compliance: A feasibility study. <i>Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment</i> , 46(1), 74-77.

Table 2. SC MIRECC Mentors

Houston Mentors

Core Mentors	Content Area	Recent Publications
Thomas Kent, MD	impulsive aggression, TBI and post-traumatic epilepsy, neurology and psychiatry interface	<p>Kent TA, Mandava P. Predicting outcome of IV thrombolysis-treated ischemic stroke patients: The dragon score. <i>Neurology</i> 2012;78(17):1368.</p> <p>Schmid AA, Andersen J, Kent T, Williams LS, Damush TM. Using intervention mapping to develop and adapt a secondary stroke prevention program in Veterans Health Administration medical centers. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2010;5(1).</p> <p>Schmid AA, Anderson J, Kent T, Williams L, Damush T. Using intervention mapping to develop and adapt a secondary stroke prevention program in Veterans Administration Medical Centers. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2010;15(5):97.</p> <p>Mandava P, Krumpelman CS, Shah JN, White DL, Kent TA. Application of information theory to assess continuous vs dichotomous outcomes, <i>PLoS One</i>. 2013 Jul 5;8(7):e67754</p> <p>Pugh MJ, Orman JA, Jaramillo C, Eapen, B, Kent TA, Towne A; Amuan, M, Roman G, McNamee, S, McMillan KK, Salinsky, M, Grafman, J, The Nexus of Epilepsy and Traumatic Brain Injury in Veterans of Afghanistan and Iraq Wars, <i>J Head Trauma Rehabil</i>. 2014 Apr 1. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 24695268</p> <p>Miles SR, Kent TA, Wanner J, Teten-Tharp A, Menefee DS, Emotion dysregulation mediates the relationship between PTSD and aggression, abstract ISTSS Annual Meeting, 2014.</p>
Rick Street, PhD	Patient-provider communication, medical decision-making	<p>Street RL Jr, Cox V, Kallen MA, Suarez-Almazo ME. Exploring communication pathways to better health: Clinician communication of expectations for acupuncture effectiveness. <i>Patient Educ Counsel</i> 2012;89:245-251.</p> <p>Street RI Jr, Elwyn G, Epstein RM. (2012) Patient preferences and health outcomes: An ecological perspective. <i>Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res</i> 2012;12:167-180.</p>
Aanand Naik, MD	geriatrics, diabetes, goal-setting, capacity,	Naik AD, Martin LA, Karel M, Wachen JS, Mulligan E, Gosian JS, Herman LI, Moye

	implementation science	<p>J. Cancer survivor rehabilitation and recovery: Protocol for the Veterans Cancer Rehabilitation Study (vet-CaRes). <i>BMC Health Serv Res</i> 2013;13(1):2.</p> <p>Naik AD, Street RL, Castillo D, Abraham NS. Health literacy and decision making styles for complex antithrombotic therapy among older multimorbid adults. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2011;85(3):499-504.</p> <p>Cully, J.A., Breeland, J.Y., Robertson, S., Utech, A.E., Hundt N.E., Kunik, M.E., Petersen, N.J., Masozera, N., Rao, R., and Naik, A.D. Behavioral Health Coaching for Rural Veterans with Diabetes and Depression: Blending Effectiveness and Implementation. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> (in press, Mar 2014).</p>
Terri Barrera, PhD	Anxiety disorders, diagnostic specificity, anxiety in primary care	<p>Barrera, T. L., Cully, J. A., Amspoker, A. B., Kunik, M. E., Wilson, N. L., Masozera, N. M., Teng, E. J., Kraus-Schuman, C., Wagener, P. D., Calleo, J. S., & Stanley, M. A. (2015). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for late-life anxiety: Similarities and differences between Veteran and community participants. <i>Journal of Anxiety Disorders</i>, 33, 72-80.</p> <p>Barrera, T. L., Mott, J. M., Hundt, N. E., Mignogna, J., Stanley, M. A., & Cully, J. A. (2014). Diagnostic specificity and mental health service utilization among veterans with newly diagnosed anxiety disorders. <i>General Hospital Psychiatry</i>, 36, 192-198.</p>
<u>Off-Site Mentors</u>		
Patricia Dubbert, PhD (Little Rock)	exercise, health promotion, primary care	<p>Helfrich CD, Blevins D, Smith JL, Kelly PA, Hogan TP, Hagedorn H, Dubbert PM, Sales AE. Predicting implementation from organizational readiness for change: a study protocol. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2011 6(1):76.</p> <p>Dubbert, PM, Robinson, JC, Sung JH, Ainsworth, BE, Wyatt, SB, Carithers T, Newton R, Jr., Rhudy, JL, Barbour, K, Sternfeld, B, & Taylor, H, Jr. Physical activity and obesity in African Americans: The Jackson Heart Study. <i>Ethn Dis</i> 2010;20(4):383-9.</p>

Jeffrey Pyne, MD (Little Rock)	cost-effectiveness analysis, telemedicine, psychophysiologic assessment, virtual reality, medication adherence, depression, PTSD, schizophrenia, substance use disorders	Pyne JM, Fortney JC, Tripathi SP, Maciejewski ML, Edlund MJ, Williams DK: Cost-effectiveness analysis of a rural telemedicine collaborative care intervention for depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2010; 67:812–821. Pyne JM, Fortney JC, Curran GM, Tripathi S, Atkinson JH, Kilbourne AM, Hagedorn HJ, Rimland D, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Monson T, Bottonari KA, Asch SM, Gifford AL. Effectiveness of collaborative care for depression in human immunodeficiency virus clinics. Arch Intern Med 2011;171(1):23-31.
Geoff Curran, PhD (Little Rock)	substance use disorders, comorbidity of substance use and psychiatric disorders, diffusion of innovation, qualitative methods	Curran GM, Bauer M, Mittman B, Pyne JM, Stetler C. Effectiveness-implementation hybrid designs: Combining elements of clinical effectiveness and implementation research to enhance public health impact. Med Care 2012;50(3):217-26. Cheney A, Dunn A, Booth BM, Frith L, Curran GM. "The intersections of gender and power in women veterans experiences of substance use and VA care." Annals of Anthropological Practice. In Press.
Rick Owen, MD MPH (Little Rock)	schizophrenia, depression, psychopharmacology, implementation science, quality of care	Mittal D, Li C, Williams JS, Viverito K, Landes RD, Owen RR. Monitoring veterans for metabolic side effects when prescribing antipsychotics. Psychiatr Serv 2013;64(1):28-35. Li C, Mittal D, Owen RR. Impact of patients' preexisting metabolic risk factors on the choice of antipsychotics by office-based physicians. Psychiatr Serv 2011;62(12):1477-84
Kristen Sorocco, PhD (Oklahoma City)	Caregiver Issues and Clinical Geropsychology	Lovallo WR, Farag NH, Sorocco KH, Acheson A, Cohoon AJ, Vincent AS. Early life adversity contributes to impaired cognition and impulsive behavior: Studies from the Oklahoma Family Health Patterns Project. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2013;37(4):616-23. Sorocco KH, Monnot M, Vincent AS, Ross ED, Lovallo WR. Deficits in affective prosody comprehension: Family history of alcoholism versus alcohol exposure. Alcohol Alcohol. 2010;45(1):25-9.
Michael A,	Interests	Cucciare, M.A., Weingardt, K. R., Ghaus, S.,

Cucciare, PhD (Little Rock)	Implementation of evidence-based mental health practices in medical settings; computer-based technology and mental health service delivery; brief interventions for alcohol misuse; motivational interviewing; substance use disorders in women	Boden, M., & Frayne, S. (2013). A randomized controlled trial of a web-delivered brief alcohol intervention in VA primary care. <i>Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs</i> , 74 (3), 428-436. Cucciare, M. A., Coleman, E., & Timko, C. (2014). A conceptual model to facilitate transitions from primary to specialty substance use disorder care: a review of the literature. <i>Primary Health Care Research & Development</i> , 12 (1-14), [epub ahead of print] Cucciare, M. A., Coleman, E.A., Saitz, R., & Timko, C. (in press). Enhancing transitions from addiction treatment to primary care. <i>Journal of Addictive Diseases</i> .
--------------------------------	---	--

Research Participation

Principal/Lead Investigator Projects

Fellows receive in-depth, multifaceted training in applied clinical research. As a significant component of this training, Fellows are mentored to identify and carry out the initial steps necessary to function as an independent researcher. Major components of this mentored training include the following:

- Identification of a research initiative that is novel, scientifically meritorious, and contains a high probability of future funding, ideally, related to ongoing work of a primary or secondary mentor
- Application for pilot funding, including exposure and training in grant writing
- Project management and leadership (including supervision of research staff)
- Data management and analysis (including large databases where applicable)
- Manuscript writing
- Presentation of data at local, regional, and national conferences
- Leveraging pilot data for future grant funding

Fellows actively work with their preceptor and mentoring team to advance the Fellow's research experiences. Tailoring of the Fellow's research experiences is viewed as critical and is determined by the Fellow, preceptor, and mentoring team as the Fellow progresses through the program. Each Fellow receives regular feedback about his/her research progress from his/her preceptor and mentoring team.

Co-Investigator Projects

Fellows are expected to participate at the level of co-investigator on one or two ongoing projects. A full listing of ongoing projects with the Houston SC MIRECC training experience can be found in Table 3. Fellows are guided by their preceptor and encouraged to discuss opportunities for research participation with the lead investigators for potential co-investigator

work. Selection of specific co-investigator experiences is determined based on the interests and developmental needs of each individual Fellow.

Table 3. Partial Listing of Houston SC MIRECC Projects

Project Title	Brief Project Description	SC MIRECC Investigator(s)
Adherence to HIV Care	5-year randomized trial using a patient-mentor intervention to improve retention in HIV primary care after hospitalization. Other outcomes include the impact of the psychosocial intervention on health-service use, health-related quality of life, and clinical outcomes.	Cully (Co-I), Stanley (Co-I), Giordano (PI – HCQCUS and BCM Dept. of Medicine)
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy in Primary Care: Treating the Medically Ill	4-year multi-site randomized trial assessing the effectiveness and implementation potential of cognitive behavioral therapy for medically ill Veterans treated in primary care	Cully (PI), Stanley, Kunik, Naik, Kauth
Intensive Two-Day Treatment for Panic Attacks in Veterans with PTSD Symptoms	To see if a brief intensive cognitive behavioral treatment for panic attacks offered over the weekend can help decrease panic attacks in Veterans with comorbid PTSD symptoms. Patients will be assessed two weeks before and after treatment.	Teng (PI), Stanley
Identifying Help-Seeking Barriers in Recently Deployed Veterans	The purpose of this study is to identify barriers related to why recently deployed Veterans who experience anxiety symptoms decline treatment options that do not involve the use of medication.	Teng (PI)
Effects of Brief Intensive Psychotherapy for Panic D/O on Neural Mechanisms and the Impact of Comorbid PTSD: An fMRI Investigation	Examine the effects of a brief but intensive cognitive behavioral treatment for PD on brain functioning in recently deployed Veterans. A secondary goal is to examine the effects of PTSD comorbidity on neural processes in response to treatment.	Teng and Kosten (PI's)
Partners in Dementia Care	This intervention provides care coordination for Veterans with dementia and their caregiver through telephone education and referrals.	Kunik (PI)
Preventing Aggression in Veterans with Dementia	Six-session psychosocial intervention to prevent development of aggression in dementia patients with pain. This intervention is guided by empirical evidence regarding mutable risk factors for aggression. The intervention includes	Kunik (PI)

	<p>two modules that address recognition and treatment of pain, two modules that address increasing social and physical activities, and two modules that aim to improve patient-caregiver communication.</p> <p>A new grant is expected to be funded testing this intervention in Baylor patients.</p>	
Calmer Life: Treating Worry among Older Adults in Underserved, Low-income, Minority Communities	The project is testing the outcomes of a new model of care for worry/anxiety in older adults through a community-academic partnership with social service agencies and churches in underserved communities. The intervention includes skills-training, integration of religion-spirituality, in-person and telephone delivery, resource counseling, and facilitation of communication with primary care.	Stanley (PI)
Behavioral Activation Therapy for Rural Veterans with Diabetes and Depression	This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness and implementation facets associated with a telephone-based coaching intervention for rural Veterans with diabetes and co-morbid depression.	Cully and Naik (Dual PI's)
Empowering Patients in Chronic Care	This study is using behavioral health coaching informed by motivational interviewing to help Veterans better self-manage diabetes care. The project is in partnership with VISN 12; our role is developing the intervention and training clinicians via distance based learning.	Woodard (PI), with Naik and Hundt as Co-Is
Implementing brief CBT for depression in community based outpatient clinics	This newly funded study will create a training program for clinicians in community based outpatient clinics to deliver brief CBT for Veterans.	Cully (PI)
Exposure Therapy for Veterans with PTSD and Panic Attacks	This randomized controlled trial compares Multiple Channel Exposure Therapy to Cognitive Processing Therapy.	Teng (PI)
Religious Spiritual Struggle as a Fork in the Road Toward Growth or Decline: Setting the Stage for Healing and Transformation	Examine the effects of a brief but intensive cognitive behavioral treatment for PD on brain functioning in recently deployed Veterans. A secondary goal is to examine the effects of PTSD comorbidity on neural processes in response to treatment. This non-treatment study examines the effects of combat deployment/military service on spiritual struggles. Veterans complete	Teng (PI), Stanley

	SCID assessments and qualitative interviews.	
Using Smart Phone Technology as a Platform for Delivering Mental Health Care	This study involves the development and testing of smart phone applications that complement evidence based interventions.	Teng (PI)
Integrating Peer Support Across the PTSD Continuum of Care	This study examines the effectiveness of using peer support providers to engage Veterans into evidence-based treatments for PTSD.	Hundt (PI)
Telehealth for PTSD	This demonstration project uses telehealth to the home to deliver evidence-based psychotherapies for PTSD.	Lindsay (PI)
One-day Intervention for Depression and Impairment in Migraine Patients	This study aims to examine the efficacy of an one-day Acceptance and Commitment Training Plus Illness Management (ACT-IM) versus Illness Management Only (IM) intervention on depression and disability in migraine patients.	Dindo (PI)
ACT for IBS	This study examines using Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).	Dindo (PI)

Conferences and Workshops

Fellows are encouraged to work with their preceptor and mentoring team to identify specific conferences and/or workshops that will enhance their research training during the Fellowship. The MIRECC V-tel, HSR&D COIN professional and career-development seminar series, HSR&D COIN Signature Seminar Series (HSR&D applied research seminars), and MIRECC GWS (Grant Writing Scholar) program (or an equivalent seminar series) are requirements. Other educational activities are listed in the “Didactics and Educational Opportunities” section.

Presentations (Local, Regional, National)

MIRECC Fellows are required to present data at the annual SC MIRECC retreat, as well as at the National VA Mental Health and MIRECC conferences – when possible. Each Fellow is also expected to present data at national professional conferences to be determined by the Fellow and his/her research mentoring team.

In addition, Fellows are required to give two "job talks" during their Fellowship. These occur at the HCQCUS or through a formal mechanism of the Mental Health Care Line (MHCL) at the MEDVAMC. The first job talk, which occurs during the second half of year 1, is designed to allow the Fellow to present his/her research plan and preliminary steps in a collegial atmosphere and to obtain feedback as he/she embarks on the next steps of their research. The second job talk is expected to occur during the second year and is designed to be more data driven (e.g., discussion of data from pilot study) and, ideally, will help the Fellow to prepare for a

future grant submission (e.g., discussion as to how pilot data can be used to secure funding for a career development award).

Clinical Experiences

Each Fellow is expected to complete 8-10 hours weekly on a structured clinical rotation. Clinical rotations are selected by the Fellow, preceptor (and mentoring team where applicable). Specific experiences and timelines for rotation start and end dates are flexible and negotiated between Fellows and clinical supervisors. A full listing of core clinical rotations and supervisors is provided in the “Clinical Rotations and Supervisors” list that follows this section. Other clinical rotations may be available, depending on the Fellow's interests and training needs and the availability of supervision.

Due to the applied nature of the research conducted as part of this fellowship, Fellows obtain additional clinical contact hours and/or clinical experiences as part of their own research and during the participation on other faculty projects (e.g. as co-investigator). Examples of applied clinical experiences may include: direct provision of manualized treatments, direct patient assessment, consultation with providers within and outside the field of psychology, and administrative roles dealing with the dissemination or improvement of evidence-based clinical services. Although the Fellowship emphasizes applied research, Fellows can expect to spend a preponderance of their time engaged in activities that are of a clinical nature (when combined with their clinical experiences occurring during their research time).

Clinical Rotations and Supervisors

The following is a list of active clinical supervisors for the MIRECC fellowship. Fellows who have clinical interests in other areas are invited to work with their preceptor to identify potential clinical supervisors in these interest areas. **The Houston VAMC has a variety of other clinical training opportunities, including working in the Community Living Center, Psychiatric Inpatient Unit, Pain Team, Spinal Cord Injury Unit, Sexual Trauma Track, Substance Dependence Treatment Program, and General Mental Health Clinic. Some example rotations are presented below:**

Women's Inpatient Specialty Evaluation & Recovery Program (WISER)
Deleene Menefee, Ph.D.

This 4-month rotation will provide psychology fellows with a unique opportunity to work with the women Veterans in a trauma-informed, intensive 3-week long inpatient program. This program will provide comprehensive evaluation and intensive specialized treatment using evidence-based treatments, such as, Dialectical Behavior Therapy Skills, Cognitive Processing Therapy, and Seeking Safety. Women Veterans comprise 20% of all new recruits and are a growing population in the Veterans Affairs. Women Veterans who are eligible include those who have a documented diagnosis of PTSD, substance abuse (SUD), or personality disorder and who are actively participating in their outpatient treatments. The intern or fellow can co-create the rotation goals. Fellows or fellows who are available for either Monday and Tuesday or Thursday and Friday will have the opportunity to: Attend Treatment Team Rounds (multidisciplinary meeting with each individual patient on the unit lasting approximately 2 hours); Participate in Treatment Team staffing where patient applications are reviewed for acceptance into the program; Co-facilitate and potentially lead a 1.5 hour process group each day; Conduct individual psychotherapy specific to the individualized treatment plan of the veteran; Conduct

and interpret psychological evaluations(mini-reports); Attend Patient Care Monitoring (Tuesday only); Participate in other evidence-based groups such as Cognitive Processing Therapy, Seeking Safety, and DBT; and participate in research opportunities with protocols for post-treatment evaluation.

Primary Care-Mental Health (PC-MH)

Angelic Chaison, Ph.D.

The goal of this rotation is to provide fellows an opportunity to increase proficiency in assessing and providing brief clinical services to a variety of Veterans in primary care (primarily Clinic 3). Trainees will be presented with a variety of cases with some emphasis on mild- to- moderate depression, anxiety, and/or alcohol/substance misuse, which are potentially co-morbid with health problems such as diabetes, chronic pain, and obesity. Opportunities exist for fellows to (1) conduct diagnostic assessments for walk-in and/or scheduled patients referred by their primary care providers, (2) conduct short-term, brief individual therapy (typically cognitive-behavioral or solution focused) with the aim of transferring patients' care to their primary care providers as appropriate, (3) develop and/or co-facilitate various behavioral medicine psychotherapy groups (including interactive psychoeducational or cognitive-behavioral groups with a focus on anxiety, depression, and healthy lifestyles), and (4) collaborate with other professionals within primary care including mental health and general practice providers. Opportunities also exist for the intern to participate in program evaluation as well as ongoing education efforts for primary care providers regarding mental health services.

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Clinical Team

Minette Beckner, Ph.D.

This rotation affords the opportunity to work in a specialized assessment, consultation, and treatment program designed to address psychological trauma (e.g., combat trauma, military sexual trauma) in veterans of all eras in an outpatient setting. The rotation offers a focus on evidence-based psychotherapy, including prolonged exposure therapy and cognitive processing therapy (applied in both group and individual psychotherapy formats) within the context of a multidisciplinary treatment team. Other opportunities may include cognitive-behavioral treatment of PTSD-related insomnia and nightmares, coping skills, assessment/treatment planning, program development, and related research projects.

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Clinical Team

Wright Williams, Ph.D., ABPP

This rotation emphasizes the challenge of leading group therapy with patients suffering from PTSD, depression, and various personality disorders. Fellows conduct individual therapy sessions with video-taped supervision, lead an outpatient psychotherapy/support group for older Veterans, co-lead psychodynamic process groups, conduct personality assessments learning to use the MMPI as a map of personality, and participate in the activities of the TRP treatment team. The experience focuses on helping fellows learn how to successfully treat patients with PTSD and characterological disorders using short-term, intensive and process/object relations group and individual therapy. The rotation also focuses on the inevitable professional developmental stages that accompany the fellowship period and moves the intern toward a confident professional identity.

Clinical Research in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Comorbid Anxiety Disorders

Ellen J. Teng, Ph.D.

This rotation provides a number of opportunities for fellows to learn more about assessment, time-limited individual and group psychotherapy, and clinical research. Diagnostic clinical interviewing using standardized structured interviews such as the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID), Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule for DSM-IV (ADIS-IV), and Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) is emphasized. Fellows interested in applied clinical research will also have the opportunity to become involved in treatment outcome research that involves innovative methods of delivering cognitive behavioral therapy. Additional experiences in providing trauma-focused therapy using evidence-based approaches (e.g., Prolonged Exposure Therapy, Multiple Channel Exposure Therapy) are also available. Administrative experiences are also available in conducting program evaluation and participating in the management and organization of the Psychology Training Program.

Health Psychology Rotation

Kaki York, Ph.D.

This rotation allows fellows the chance to participate in the assessment and treatment of behavioral medicine cases (pre-surgical evaluation for bariatric surgery, and liver, bone marrow and other transplants; development of behavioral contracts; and treatment to prepare patients to receive other medical interventions) as required. Opportunities exist for fellows to conduct individual therapy, and collaborate with other professionals within medical and /or psychiatric teams. One of the more unique aspects of this rotation is the focus on learning and implementing brief, problem focused therapies in an integrated care context. Opportunities also exist for the trainee to participate in on-going research. Specific projects will be determined on an individual basis related to trainee skills and interests as well as the needs of the PC MH program. The day/time for this 8-16 hour rotation can be flexible but must include Friday if the trainee is interested in working with transplant patients. The rotation supervisor is the Associate Director for the General Mental Health clinic but also sits on the Health Promotion Disease Prevention and Cancer Committees as well as the Liver Transplant -Medical Review Board. However, flexibility depends upon the level of knowledge and independence of the trainee. Supervision is generally provided in a mentoring atmosphere with more independence coming later in the rotation.

Clinical Research in Late-life Anxiety

Melinda Stanley, Ph.D.

A rotation is offered through the Houston Health Services Research and Development Center of InnovationCenter of Innovation that focuses on clinical research in late-life anxiety. Opportunities are available to participate in ongoing studies addressing the cognitive behavioral treatment (CBT) of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) in older, primary care patients, the treatment of anxiety in patients with dementia, and the treatment of worry and anxiety in underserved, low income communities. The latter project offers participants the opportunity to integrate religion and/or spirituality into CBT. Training experiences can include participation in study recruitment, structured diagnostic and cognitive assessments, protocol-based treatment, data analysis, manuscript preparation, and grant writing. In addition to supervision provided by a licensed psychologist, supervision will also be available within a multi-disciplinary team including psychiatrists (Dr. Kunik), social workers (Ms. Wilson), biostatisticians (Drs. Rhoades, Khan), and internists (Drs. Masozera, Williams, Horsfield). Participants are from the MEDVAMC, Baylor clinics, and the community.

Neuropsychology, Neurology Care Line
Robert Collins, Ph.D., ABPP-CN

The Clinical Neuropsychology Service within the Neurology Care Line primarily receives consultation through various neurology outpatient clinics (e.g., cognitive disorders clinic, stroke clinic, seizure clinic, etc.). Less frequently there are requests for inpatient evaluations, usually for the purpose of addressing competency/limitations to independence. The patient population is typically older and the primary questions being asked are diagnostic in nature (e.g., differentiation of various dementias, cognitive disorders in the context of psychiatric illness, recovery of cognitive functioning following head injury or stroke, malingering, etc.). This service has direct involvement in the MEDVAMC epilepsy surgery program and there may be opportunities for fellows to assist in the pre- and post-surgical evaluation of these patients as well as assisting with intracarotid amobarbital (e.g., WADA) studies. There may be opportunities to collaborate on research projects and to provide psychotherapy to patients with CNS disease and psychiatric illness. This is a rotation where the emphasis is on teaching the basics of neuropsychological assessment (including interviewing, test selection, interpretation of data, etc.) and exposure to different neurological/psychiatric populations. The assessment approach utilized on this rotation is one that is hypothesis driven to guide test selection with a flexible battery. Test findings are compared to normative data and interpreted within an information processing framework. It is understood that fellows have varying degrees of assessment experience/exposure to neurological populations and every effort will be made to individually tailor each training experience. Fellows at all experience levels will be expected to complete readings in neuropsychology and to attend neuropsychology seminars. Interns will have an opportunity to work with the Clinical Neuropsychology Postdoctoral Fellows.

Didactics and Educational Opportunities

National MIRECC Hub-site V-Tel. (1st and 3rd Wednesdays from 12-2pm; Rm 102. Required for first years; for second years, only the 3rd Wednesday is mandatory. Second years must attend 2 hubsite calls per month, of their choosing.)

The MIRECC Fellowship is encompassed within a larger, national Fellowship Program, the VA Advanced Fellowship Program in Mental Illness Research and Treatment, which is sponsored by the Office of Academic Affiliations (OAA). The Fellowship Hub Site for the national program, located at the Palo Alto VA under the Directorship of Dr. Ruth O'Hara, hosts a series of didactic seminars provided via Video Teleconference each year. Attendance at this Video Teleconferencing Series (V-Tels) is mandatory for all Fellows within the VA Advanced Fellowship Program. V-Tels are scheduled on the first and third Wednesday of each month; V-Tels run from 12:00-2:00pm. At these V-Tels, renowned experts in the field of mental health lead structured discussions on a variety of clinical, educational, research, and career development issues. In this way, Fellows benefit from cutting-edge presentations offered by nationally recognized speakers, but also become acquainted and may network with the speakers (and their peers in the national class of VA Advanced Fellows who attend the V-Tels) through question and answer sessions after the presentations. This program has been nationally recognized (2002, statement to Committee on Veterans Affairs, Dr. Rosewell) as an effective and innovative methodology for which to provide cutting-edge information that will aid in direct patient care. These V-Tels cover a range of topics (professional development; licensure; academic citizenship; research methods and biostatistics; clinical research within VHA; and "hot topics" within mental health in VHA). The V-Tel and audio seminars are moderated by the Hub Site Director, Dr. Ruth O'Hara, an accomplished cognitive psychologist.

MIRECC Grant Writing Seminar Series (2nd Wednesdays from 12-2. Optional but highly encouraged)

This seminar series occurs monthly and is conducted via telephone (conference call). Seminars occur from 12-2 pm on the second Wednesday of each month. This teleconference is designed to address grant writing skill, opportunities for funding for VA research, and to offer constructive feedback on drafts of grant proposals to the Fellows who participate. Fellows who plan to submit a grant proposal (MIRECC pilot, CDA, etc.) are strongly encouraged to attend and submit their specific aims for review in this call. This teleconference is led by Dr. Ruth O'Hara, Director of the Advanced Fellowship Program Hub Site.

VA Advanced Fellowship Scientific Writing Seminar (4th Wednesdays from 12-2pm; Optional)

This teleconference is designed to address the development of scientific writing skills and to provide Fellows with opportunities to give and receive constructive feedback on drafts of manuscripts or conference presentations as needed. This is optional, the decision to attend should be made on the basis of the fellow's proficiency in scientific writing. The manuscript writing seminar is conducted via telephone (conference call), is scheduled from 12-2 pm on the fourth Wednesday of each month. This teleconference is led by Dr. Sherry Beaudreau, Assistant Director of the Advanced Fellowship Program Hub Site and a clinical psychologist.

Health Services Research Fellow Seminars (4-5pm every Thursday, encouraged)

These seminars, located in Room 101 at Nabisco, are attended by fellows from a variety of disciplines and fellowship programs. They include both Career Development topics and Health Services Research topics. Career Development topics include formatting the CV, manuscript development, understanding the various grant mechanisms, making effective presentations, time management issues, etc. Health Services Research seminars provide a broad overview of the topics that serve as a basis for health services research. Topics may include information on conceptual models, survey design, economic methods in HSR, and psychometrics. Attendance is encouraged, particularly for topics that do not overlap with topics presented elsewhere in the MIRECC V-tels.

Monday Signature Seminar Series (Optional but encouraged when the topics are relevant to psychology or your research area)

This seminar series consists of three different presentation types: Professional Development presentations, 'Author in the Room' presentations, and Research In Progress Presentations. The Professional Development seminars are designed especially for junior faculty and Center-affiliated Fellows. Topics may include time management information, manuscript writing, and grants management tips. Author in the Room sessions focus on one recent publication chosen by the author(s); the session consists of the author(s) fielding a variety of questions regarding the selected article. Attendees are encouraged to ask questions about any facet of the article production that interests them, including questions regarding methodology, challenges to project completion or article publication, implementation of article findings, the author's motivation for writing the article, methods of engagement with editors and reviewers, etc. Research in Progress seminars were developed to: 1) facilitate research presentations so that center scientists can receive feedback about their work in progress prior to formal presentation; 2) seek collaborative opportunities; and 3) inform colleagues about research topics. All faculty members are required to present at this conference at least once per year. The format is for two speakers to present their research topic for 15-20 minutes followed by a 10-15 minute open discussion period. This seminar occurs each Monday from 12-1 pm during the academic year (September-July).

BCM Psychiatry Grand Rounds (Optional)

Fellows are also encouraged to attend weekly grand rounds presentations hosted by our academic affiliate, Baylor College of Medicine Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. Grand rounds occur each Wednesday from 10:30am to 11:30am during the academic year (September-July).

Formal Coursework

Fellows are afforded opportunities to take formal coursework to develop and/or hone specific research interests and skills. Coursework varies from formal registration to auditing courses (depending on the Fellow's need and tuition costs). Examples of courses taken by Fellows in the past include advanced multivariate statistics, structural equation modeling, and qualitative inquiry. Many other courses exist, especially related to a broad range of health-service-research topics such as epidemiology, implementation, public policy, etc., through the UTSPH. Advanced psychology graduate courses are also available through the University of Houston.

VII. Resources

Description of the General Training Setting and Primary Training Sites

SC MIRECC Fellows are closely associated with a variety of institutions. Thus, a typical Fellow may work closely with patients at the Houston VA, collaborate with researchers at multiple academic institutions, and pursue additional training from some of the many institutions of higher education located in Houston. A brief description of the general training setting is provided below and that of other affiliated institutions is provided in the following section. Fellows are physically housed at the MEDVAMC or the Houston Center for Quality of Care & Utilization Studies (a VA HSR&D Center of Innovation; COIN), depending on the location of their preceptor and/or primary mentor. Fellows receive clerical and technical support through the SC MIRECC as well as the VA HSR&D COIN.

Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center (MEDVAMC)

The MEDVAMC is one of the largest facilities in the national VA Medical Center system. Located on an 118-acre campus and built in 1991, the MEDVAMC is a state-of-the-art facility with 319 hospital beds, a 40-bed Spinal Cord Injury Center, and a 120-bed transitional care unit for long-term care. The MEDVAMC employs 3,500 people, including over 300 part-time employees and over 150 contract employees. The MEDVAMC is home to a MIRECC; a General Mental Health Clinic, a PTSD Clinic, an award-winning Cardiac Surgery Program, and a Parkinson's Disease Research, Education, and Clinical Center (PADRECC).

Nearly 3,500 healthcare professionals provide high-quality care and services at the MEDVAMC. For more than 50 years, the MEDVAMC has provided clinical training for healthcare professionals through affiliations with Baylor College of Medicine (BCM) and other education and research institutions. Each academic year, 1,400 students are trained through 110 affiliation agreements with institutions of higher learning in 14 states. Healthcare students from fields such as nursing, dietetics, social work, physical therapy, and a wide variety of medical specialties, receive training here each year.

Within the MEDVAMC, the Mental Health Care Line (MHCL) is one of the largest services. Consisting of a wide range of outpatient programs (community-integration program, general mental health program, PCT, and inpatient units, including specialized women's and OEF/OIF Veteran units in addition to two acute psychiatric inpatient units), the MHCL provides a breadth of potential experiences for Fellows. The MHCL includes more than 30 full-time psychologists, with varied rotations outlined in the clinical training section above.

The MEDVAMC has a long-standing psychology internship and clinical Fellows program housed in the MHCL. At this time, the internship program (APA accredited) hosts seven interns a year, and there are one Serious Mental Illness Fellow, two TRP Fellows, and two Neuropsychology Fellows. Fellows' clinical rotations come chiefly from rotations in the various programs offered by the psychology service and outlined in the clinical services section of this manual.

With the tremendous diversity of psychologists at the MEDVAMC, Fellows have an opportunity to gain exposure to varied theoretical orientations. Group-therapy interventions are the dominant therapy modality; and treatment approaches include CBT, group process, interpersonal, psychodynamic and didactic, depending on the needs of the population served and the specialized approach style of the individual supervisor. There are ample opportunities for experiences in supervised individual therapy and family therapy, and some psychologists are able to provide supervision in family therapy if there is an interest.

Houston VA Health Services Research Center of Innovation (Houston COIN)

The Houston COIN was established in October 1990 by a grant from the Department of Veteran Affairs Research and Development Service. With an initial investment of only \$250,000, the Center has grown to a total of over 160 faculty and staff who are housed in 35,000 square feet of contiguous space in the John P. McGovern Campus building across the street from the MEDVAMC and a half mile from the BCM main campus. The BCM Section of Health Services Research and the VA Health Service Research and Development Center of Innovation are now fully integrated in the Center. Faculty includes 37 scientists, about half clinician-scientists and half PhD social and quantitative scientists. Clinician scientists include general internists, geriatricians, gastroenterologists, a cardiologist, infectious diseases specialists, psychiatrists, pediatricians, clinical psychologists, and surgeons. PhD scientists include statisticians, epidemiologists, psychometricians, an econometrician, and a social psychologist. In 2013, we had an operating budget of over \$12 million, with 67% from project-generated funds. Half of the grants were from VA sources and half from non-VA sources. For 2013, we had 90 uniquely funded research projects. COIN investigators published over 205 manuscripts in peer-reviewed journals and gave 139 presentations at 40 conferences during 2013. In the past decade, COIN work has contributed to seven papers cited in four Institute of Medicine reports, 40 briefs and reports to the President/Congress/Cabinet Secretaries, and 34 papers cited in 13 clinical guidelines for patient care.

Laura Petersen, MD, MPH, professor of medicine at BCM, serves as Houston COIN director. Houston COIN has four scientific program directors who lead strategic planning and program implementation and are responsible for research leadership within the Center's broad focus of quality of care and utilization. Houston COIN has 37 full-time scientists (17 physician-scientists from medicine, surgery, and psychiatry; and 15 PhDs, including epidemiologists; social, educational, clinical and health psychologists; biostatisticians, and health economists), a large staff of master's-level analysts expert in survey and claims database analysis, and a large staff of clinical research assistants and administrative support staff.

Baylor College of Medicine (BCM)

The MEDVAMC is academically affiliated and serves as a primary training site for BCM. One of the premier medical schools in the country, BCM is recognized as a premier academic health science center and is known for excellence in education, research and patient care. BCM has affiliations with 8 teaching hospitals, each with a national and international reputation for medical excellence. BCM consistently ranks among the top of the country's 125 medical schools, and in the 2014 *U.S. News & World Report*, ranked as one of the top 20 among

research-intensive medical schools. BCM ranked No. 2 in the nation in federal funding for research and development in the biological sciences at universities and colleges by the National Science Foundation. The college has total research support of \$363 million, with \$280 million from federal sources, and more than 90 research and patient-care centers and units. Currently, BCM trains more than 3,000 medical, graduate, nurse anesthesia, and physician assistant students, as well as residents and postdoctoral fellows. These figures demonstrate BCM's commitment to high-quality research and training.

SC MIRECC Fellows can reap the benefits of the close collaborations with BCM and their innumerable research resources. In addition, Fellows receive an academic appointment through BCM's Menninger Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences.

Menninger Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences

The Menninger Department of Psychiatry, chaired by Dr. Stuart Yudofsky, has 292 full-time and voluntary faculty members who are dedicated to excellence in research, teaching, and clinical care in psychiatry and behavioral sciences. Its mission is to provide preeminent leadership in medical education and basic, translational, and clinical research, and to provide excellence in patient care. The educational programs in the Department of Psychiatry encompass training for medical students, residents, subspecialty Fellows, graduate students, postdoctoral Fellows, allied health professionals, and practicing physicians. Full-time and voluntary faculties are active at all levels of the College's educational mission in its affiliated teaching hospitals, which include the St. Luke's Episcopal Hospital, MEDVAMC, and Ben Taub General Hospital. All hospitals are located in the acclaimed Texas Medical Center (TMC), a national and international referral center with a diverse patient population. Although there has been decreased funding from NIH to medical schools nationwide, BCM continues to receive significant support for biomedical research.

Secondary Institutional Affiliations and Resources

MIRECC Implementation, Design and Analysis Support (MIDAS)

SC MIRECC fellows have access to the MIDAS, a comprehensive methodological and statistical methods-consultancy program. The MIDAS is a service arm of the SC MIRECC, created to provide methodologic support to investigators in the SC VA Healthcare Network (VISN 16) who are seeking intramural or extramural funding or are conducting pilot projects. MIDAS is designed to supplement the methodologic infrastructure available to investigators. MIDAS team members can support all phases of project development, implementation, analysis and dissemination.

The MIDAS team offers centralized design, methodologic and analytic support. With expertise in biostatistics, epidemiology, psychometrics, qualitative methods and project implementation, team members can work with Fellows in such areas as study design, instrument selection or design, sampling strategies, recruitment and data-collection procedures, budgeting and time projections, monitoring enrollment and attrition, key-informant and cognitive interviews, focus-group facilitation, analysis of quantitative data, database construction and management, extraction of data from the Austin and VISN 16 Data Warehouse datasets, analysis of qualitative data, proposal development and presubmission review, grants and project management, and manuscript preparation. The MIDAS team also offers consultation on how information technology (IT) solutions can be integrated into delivery and evaluation of clinical research and educational interventions.

When the core MIDAS team does not have needed expertise, efforts are made to connect Fellows with other experienced methodologists within the SC MIRECC or in research or academic institutions elsewhere.

VA Mental Health Quality Enhancement Research Initiative (MH-QUERI)

The MH-QUERI is a national research program funded by the Health Services Research and Development Service of the VA. The Mental Health QUERI's mission is to improve quality of care, outcomes, and health-related quality of life for Veterans with depression and schizophrenia by promoting research to close gaps in knowledge and implementing evidence-based practices. The MH-QUERI addresses issues faced by Veterans with depression or schizophrenia, their families, their providers and the healthcare systems that serve them. It has matured from early studies of measurement methods, informatics, and deviations of current practice from best practice to our current portfolio of intervention projects, many of which are reporting positive findings. In addition, the Mental Health QUERI has moved rapidly to conduct large quality-improvement research projects that aim to implement practices with a substantial evidence base: collaborative care for depression in primary care settings and evidence-based antipsychotic management for schizophrenia. This research occurs not only as part of the Mental Health QUERI program but also in collaboration with researchers from other organizations and programs. As interventions to improve care are demonstrated to be effective, the Mental Health QUERI works to spread their implementation throughout the VA while studying the effectiveness of the implementation strategies employed.

The University of Texas School of Public Health (UTSPH)

The UTSPH is one of eight institutions of The UT Health Science Center at Houston. The UTSPH is accredited by the Council on Education for Public Health and offers the quality graduate education needed for public health careers.

The main campus, located in the heart of the TMC in Houston, offers MPH, MS, DrPH, and PhD degrees. Five regional campuses, each established to meet the public health education and research needs of its community, are located throughout Texas. Regional campuses have their own resident faculty and offer MPH degrees via traditional classes, interactive television (ITV), and web-based learning.

Research is an important part of the mission of UTSPH. Faculty and students engage in research in areas such as health promotion, environmental health, and disease control.

Rice University

As a leading research university with a distinctive commitment to undergraduate education, Rice University aspires to path-breaking research, unsurpassed teaching and contributions to the betterment of the world. It seeks to fulfill this mission by cultivating a diverse community of learning and discovery that produces leaders across the spectrum of human endeavor.

Rice has 628 full-time, 144 part-time and 273 adjunct faculty as of fall 2008. They teach and conduct research in Rice's eight academic schools: Architecture, Susanne M. Glasscock School of Continuing Studies, George R. Brown School of Engineering, Humanities, Jesse H. Jones Graduate School of Management, The Shepherd School of Music, Wiess School of Natural Sciences, and Social Sciences. Ninety-seven percent of Rice's faculty hold PhDs or equivalent terminal degrees in their fields.

Members of the faculty of Rice University have distinguished themselves among their peers and are dedicated to both teaching and research. Most notably, in 1996 two Rice faculty members

received the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for their pioneering research in the field of nanotechnology. As of March 2008, Rice had 17 faculty members in the National Academy of Engineering and seven faculty members in the National Academy of Sciences.

University of Houston

Founded in 1927, the University of Houston is the leading public research university in the vibrant international city of Houston. Each year, UH educates more than 36,000 students in nearly 300 undergraduate and graduate academic programs, on campus and online. UH awards more than 6,500 degrees annually, with nearly 200,000 alumni. Of particular interest to SC MIRECC fellows, UH hosts doctoral granting programs in Clinical and Counseling Psychology, and Fellows may take advantage of a host of didactic and experienced researchers available within these institutions for collaborations.

Financial Resources

Houston SC MIRECC Psychology Fellows receive an annual first-year salary (including locality pay) of \$47,623 and a second-year salary of \$50,197. Fellows receive 13 days of annual leave and 13 days of sick leave per annum. Fellows receive additional authorized leave for professional conferences and developmental activities. Travel funds are usually available for at least one professional conference per year. As VA trainees, Fellows also receive the option for medical/health, dental, vision, and life insurance.

In addition to these VA-wide benefits, the SC MIRECC provides additional avenues for support and resources, including: a) \$1000 per fellow for tuition/books; b) up to \$7000 in pilot fund start-up project funds (noncompetitive but requires approved written proposal to SC MIRECC Research Director); c) submission eligibility for the SC MIRECC Pilot Study Program (competitive), which funds 1-year pilot studies up to \$75,000; d) submission eligibility for the SC MIRECC Clinical Educator Grant Program (competitive), which funds 1-year clinical/education projects (up to \$10,000); and e) paid travel and conference fees for one trip per year, pending funding availability.

VIII. Quality-Control Activities

MIRECC Leadership Committee

The MIRECC Leadership Committee oversees all clinical, educational, and research activities within the SC MIRECC. The MIRECC leadership committee is responsible for delegating leadership within each of these domains and serves as an ad hoc committee for fellowship grievances and/or other issues.

Houston SC MIRECC Psychology Fellowship Training Committee

The Houston SC MIRECC site has a specific Psychology Fellowship Training Committee. It has the following functions:

- Serves as the selection committee for incoming Fellows
- Meets quarterly to receive updates on Fellow progress and monitor the Fellowship training program, including Fellow achievements and career placement and how these outcomes relate to the mission of the training program
- Addresses informal and formal complaints or grievances about the program
- Addresses individual fellow professional development and/or competency issues when necessary.

Houston SC MIRECC Psychology Training Director

The psychology director (Dr. Hundt) is responsible for the overall coordination of the Fellowship, recruitment of incoming Fellows, routine monitoring of Fellow progress, and providing guidance to SC MIRECC preceptors and mentoring teams.

Evaluation Procedures

Evaluation of the Fellow is completed by the preceptor (with regular reporting to the director). The preceptor and mentor team have direct oversight over the Fellow's research training and experiences. Clinical supervisors are responsible for overseeing the Fellow's clinical rotations and experiences.

Fellow Evaluations and Feedback

Fellows receive ongoing and comprehensive feedback about their performance while in the program. Informal feedback is encouraged as part of all Fellow/supervisor meetings. In addition, Fellows and their mentoring teams are required to meet quarterly to review, discuss and approve the Fellow's progress (see Fellow quarterly progress report). The Fellow receives formal feedback regarding research, clinical, and all other Fellowship skill areas on a regular basis via semi-annual written evaluations. Written evaluations are provided by clinical supervisors (semi-annually and/or at the end of each clinical rotation), research mentors (semi-annually), preceptors (semi-annually), and the director (semi-annually; if not a preceptor or mentor).

Table 4. Evaluation and Feedback to the Fellow

Evaluation	Month 3	Month 6	Month 9	Month 12	Month 15	Month 18	Month 21	Month 24
Fellow Quarterly Progress Report / Team Mentor Meetings	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Preceptor/Mentor Evaluations		X		X*		X		X*
Clinical Supervisor Evaluations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Psychology Director (if not preceptor)				X				X

*abbreviated evaluation

TBD = To be determined based on start / end dates of clinical rotations. Fellows are to receive feedback from clinical supervisors after 3 months and at the end of the 6-month rotation.

Program Evaluation Procedures

The SC MIRECC Psychology Fellowship is constantly working to improve its training practices and experiences. As part of this targeted improvement initiative, we regularly obtain feedback from Fellows about the quality of the training and mentoring experiences provided by the Fellowship. A listing of the evaluation methods and timeline of the Fellowship experience is listed below (see Table 5). Feedback is not provided to supervisors and/or mentors during the training program to ensure and maintain confidentiality. If the Fellow agrees, the information may be released to faculty during the training year. Annually, the Fellowship Training Committee reviews the achievements of each Fellow, his/her career placement, and the recent recruitment success of the program to determine whether changes are needed in the structure or process of the fellowship.

Table 5. Evaluation and Feedback to the Program

	Month 6	Month 12	Month 18	Month 24
Fellow Evaluation of Program (includes preceptor and mentor evaluations)	X	X*	X	X*
Fellow Evaluation of Clinical Supervisors	X	X	X	X
Exit Interview with Preceptor				X
Exit Interview with Psychology Director				X
SC MIRECC Training Committee Review of Fellow Achievements and Career Placement				X

* abbreviated evaluation

TBD = To be determined based on start and end dates of clinical rotations. Fellows will be asked to provide feedback to clinical supervisors after 3 months and at the end of the 6-month rotation.

Remediation and Due-Process Procedures

Remediation, Probation, and Termination Procedures

Fellows may have problems, including knowledge or skill deficits, and/or interpersonal, attitudinal, or behavioral difficulties. When problems are identified by preceptors, mentors, and/or supervisors, Fellows will be given verbal and/or written feedback about their deficits/difficulties. Written feedback can be expected in the regularly scheduled evaluations. When problems are identified, preceptors, mentors, and supervisors will work with the fellow to address the problem(s) whenever possible. Where necessary, remediation plans will be put in place detailing the specific behaviors and tasks to be accomplished in order to address the problem behavior(s). Should the Fellow not meet these remediation expectations and/or engage in egregious professional behavior that would preclude a remediation plan, the Fellow would be subject to dismissal from the program. A final determination of the Fellow's progress and ability to remain in the fellowship will be determined by the SC MIRECC psychology fellowship committee. The Fellow would have the option to appeal any such decision (see below).

Insufficient Competence and Professional Impairment

The Fellowship program aims to develop advanced professional competence. Conceivably, a Fellow could be seen as lacking the competence for eventual independent practice because of a serious deficit in skill or knowledge or problematic behaviors that significantly affect his/her professional functioning. In such cases, the training program will help Fellows identify these areas and provide remedial experiences or recommended resources in an effort to improve the Fellow's performance to a satisfactory degree. Conceivably, the problem identified may be of sufficient seriousness that the Fellow would not get credit for the Fellowship unless that problem is remedied.

Issues pertaining to the Fellow's competence and/or professional impairment must be brought to the attention of the Training Director at the earliest opportunity to allow maximum time for remedial efforts. The Training Director will work with the Fellow's preceptor and inform the Fellow of the concern. If the concern is deemed important for the Fellow's professional development and/or standing in the Program, the Training Director will call a meeting of the Training Committee. Issues falling short of requiring review of the full Training Committee will be addressed either informally or formally as determined by the Preceptor and Director. Should the identified problem be presented to the Training Committee, the Fellow, upon request, will have the opportunity to meet address the training committee directly.

A detailed listing of steps involved for competence or professional impairment issues is as follows:

- a. A Fellow identified as having a serious deficit or problem will be placed on probationary status by the Training Committee if the Training Committee determines that the deficit or problem is serious enough that it could prevent the Fellow from fulfilling the exit criteria, and thereby not receive credit for the Fellowship.
- b. The Training Committee may require the Fellow to participate in particular learning experiences or may issue guidelines for the type of experiences and/or health care services the Fellow should undertake/receive to remedy such a deficit or problem.

- c. The Fellow and his/her preceptor and mentors, clinical supervisors (where appropriate), the Training Director and the Training Committee will produce a remediation contract stipulating the problem area, the training plan and/or steps needed to address the problem, and the timeframe and outcomes necessary to resolve the training problem.
- d. The Fellow and the preceptor will report to the Training Committee on a regular basis, as specified in the contract (but not less than every 2 months) regarding the Fellow's progress.
- e. The Fellow may be removed from probationary status by a majority vote of the Training Committee when his/her progress in resolving the problem(s) specified in the contract is sufficient. Removal from probationary status indicates that the Fellow's performance is at the appropriate level to allow him/her to receive credit for the Fellowship.
- f. If the Fellow is not making progress, or if it becomes apparent that it will not be possible for him/her to receive credit for the Fellowship, the Training Committee will so inform the Fellow at the earliest opportunity.
- g. The decision for credit or no credit for a Fellow on probation is made by a majority vote of the Training Committee. The Training Committee vote will be based on all available data, with particular attention to the Fellow's identified problem and their fulfillment of the learning contract to resolve the identified problem.

Illegal or Unethical Behavior

Any person who observes illegal or unethical behavior, whether on the part of staff or a Fellow, has the responsibility to report the incident. Upon receipt of such information:

- The Training Director, the supervisor, and the Fellow may address infractions of a very minor nature. A written record of the complaint and action will become a permanent part of the Fellow's training file.
- Any significant infraction or repeated minor infractions must be documented in writing and submitted to the Training Director, who will notify the Fellow of the complaint. Per the procedures described above, the Training Director, along with the Preceptor will determine the importance of the issue and will call a meeting of the Training Committee to review the concerns as necessary. All involved parties will be encouraged to submit relevant information that bears on the issue and invited to attend a meeting with the Training Committee.
- In the case of illegal or unethical behavior in the performance of patient-care duties, the Training Director may seek advisement from appropriate VA and Texas Medical Center resources, including Risk Management or VA Regional Counsel, VA Human Resources, or Departmental experts in Ethics or Training.
- Following a careful review of the case, the Training Committee may recommend no action, probation or dismissal of the Fellow. Recommendation of a probationary period or termination will include the notice and follow procedures for hearing and appeal procedures described in the above section. A violation of the probationary contract necessitates termination of the Fellow's appointment at the SC MIRECC and MEDVAMC.

Fellow Grievances

We believe that most problems are best resolved through face-to-face interaction between Fellow and supervisor (or other staff), as part of the ongoing working relationship. Fellows are encouraged to first discuss problems or concerns with their direct supervisor. In turn, supervisors are expected to be receptive to complaints, attempt to develop a solution with the Fellow, and seek appropriate consultation. If Fellow-faculty discussions do not satisfactorily resolve the concern, a number of additional steps are available to the Fellow.

Informal Mediation

Either party may request the Training Director (or, as an alternative, Dr. Michael Kauth) to act as a mediator or help in selecting a mediator who is agreeable to both the Fellow and the supervisor. Such mediation may facilitate a satisfactory resolution through continued discussion. Alternatively, mediation may result in recommended changes to the learning environment, or a recommendation that the Fellow change placements or other experiences to maximize his/her learning experience.

Formal Grievances

Formal grievances should take place as follows:

1. Upon receipt of the formal grievance, the Training Director will notify the SC MIRECC Psychology Training Committee of the grievance and call a meeting of the committee to review the complaint.
2. The SC MIRECC Training Committee will determine a course of action that best promotes the Fellow's training experience. In the event that the grievance involves a member of the Training Committee (including the Training Director), that member will recuse himself or herself from serving on the special session of the Training Committee related to this grievance.
3. The Fellow will be informed in writing of the recommendations. If he/she accepts the decision, the recommendations will be implemented. If the Fellow disagrees with the decision, he/she may appeal to the MIRECC Leadership Committee. All faculty with direct oversight or mentoring of Fellows will be excluded from the MIRECC Leadership Committee to allow resolution of the grievance. The MIRECC Leadership Committee will render the appeal decision.

The above grievance procedures in no way limit a person's right to seek redress through other appropriate channels (e.g., EEOC mechanisms) as appropriate. Any findings resulting from review of a grievance that involves unethical, inappropriate or unlawful staff behavior will be submitted to the Director of the Fellowship for appropriate personnel action.

**Houston SC MIRECC Advanced Fellowship in Psychology
Clinical Supervisor Evaluation of Fellow**

Name of Fellow: _____

Name of Supervisor: _____

Time Period of Evaluation: _____

Please rate fellow on a 5-point scale with:

1 = Not Satisfactory

2 = Minimally Successful

3 = Fully Successful

4 = Highly Successful

5 = Outstanding

N/A = Not Applicable

I. CLINICAL SKILLS

A. Intervention Skills

Not Satisfactory	Minimally Successful	Fully Satisfactory	Highly Successful	Outstanding	Not Applicable
1	2	3	4	5	N/A

1. Knowledge and use of empirically based interventions	
2. Individual therapy	
3. Group therapy	
4. Family/couples therapy	
5. Interventions based in effective, consistent conceptual framework	
6. Consultation skills	

Comments (mandatory for ratings of 1, 2 and 5):

B. Assessment Skills

Not Satisfactory	Minimally Successful	Fully Satisfactory	Highly Successful	Outstanding	Not Applicable
1	2	3	4	5	N/A

1. Selecting appropriate test instruments	
2. Establishing appropriate rapport	
3. Interview skills	
4. Intellectual / Neuropsychological Testing	
5. Clinical / Personality Testing	
6. Quality of diagnostic formulation(s) and ability to integrate data	
7. Appropriateness of recommendations	
8. Diversity competency reflected in evaluation	
9. Quality of written report	

Comments(mandatory for ratings of 1, 2 and 5):

II. Professional Issues

Not Satisfactory	Minimally Successful	Fully Satisfactory	Highly Successful	Outstanding	Not Applicable
1	2	3	4	5	N/A

1. Sensitivity to, knowledge of, and compliance with ethical guidelines	
2. Appreciation of and consistency with empirically based “standards of care” or evidence supported treatment	
3. Appreciation of ethical/cultural and individual differences (e.g. aging) in planning and implementing interventions	
4. Clinical/professional judgment	
5. Appropriate handling of clinically emergent contexts	
6. Able to manage personal stress without undue interference in performance	
7. Autonomy	
8. Dependability	

Comments (mandatory for ratings of 1, 2 and 5):

III. APPLIED RESEARCH AND ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS

1. Integration of research into clinical practice activities (e.g. evidence based interventions, service improvements, program evaluation)	
2. Participation in program evaluation	
3. Participation in program development	
4. Participation in monitoring or improvement of mental health care quality (e.g. administrative data)	

Comments (mandatory for ratings of 1, 2 and 5):

IV. GENERAL SKILLS AND ABILITIES

Not Satisfactory	Minimally Successful	Fully Satisfactory	Highly Successful	Outstanding	Not Applicable
1	2	3	4	5	N/A

A. Interpersonal/Teamwork Skills

1. Response to supervision	
2. Open to constructive feedback and adjusts accordingly	
3. Cooperative relationships with other team members	
4. Balance of team orientation and ability to take divergent stands	
5. Effectiveness at supervision of junior trainees	

Comments (mandatory for ratings of 1, 2 and 5):

Summary

Overall Strengths:

Overall Weaknesses and/or Suggested Areas for Improvement:

Supervisor

Date

Fellow

Date

Houston SC MIRECC Advanced Fellowship in Psychology
Semi-Annual Preceptor Evaluation of Fellow

Fellow: _____

Date: _____

Preceptor: _____

Progress Rating Scale:

N/A	1	2	3	4
	No Progress/ Not Started	Limited Progress	Appropriate Progress	Outstanding Progress

A. Scientific Thinking and Research Skills / Experiences

Progress Rating	Performance Area
	<i>Research Projects</i>
	Work productively with mentoring team to define a research program
	Design pilot research project. Understand how pilot project relates to obtaining subsequent funding.
	Collect/analyze data for pilot project
	Collaborate with other investigators on projects (minimum of 1, expectation of 2)
	<i>Research Presentations</i>
	Scientific Job Talk each year (research initiatives, present pilot data)
	National conference presentation each year (required)
	<i>Research Publications</i>
	Submit 1-2 first author manuscripts (required)
	Submit 1-2 manuscripts as co-author (required)
	<i>Grant Writing</i>
	Participate in manuscript and grant reviews
	Submit a SC MIRECC pilot study or request for noncompetitive MIRECC funding
	Submit a career development award (encouraged but not required)

1. Please rate the overall competence of the fellow in the research domain.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

2. Please rate the fellow's general use of mentoring and research supervision.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

B. Intervention, Consultation, and Assessment Skills

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Fellow is receiving training in advanced clinical practice that includes direct provision of care to patients (minimum 8-10 hours per week).
<input type="checkbox"/> No*	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Ratings from clinical supervisors at the fully satisfactory level or better (see separate clinical evaluations).
<input type="checkbox"/> No*	

* requires comment in professional development section

1. Please rate the overall competence of the fellow in the clinical domain.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

C. Teaching, Supervision, and Personnel Management Activities

1. Please rate the overall competence and progress of the fellow in the teaching domain. Teaching can include seminars, supervised clinical supervision, or supervision of research project staff.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

D. Administrative / Systemic Skills

Fellows are encouraged to engage in at least one administrative activity as part of the program. This may include:

- using VA administrative databases
- participating in clinical program evaluation
- developing or implementing evidence-based interventions or patient care services
- Obtaining supervised participation in program administration and/or participate in local or region administrative research or clinical committees.

1. Please rate the overall competence of the fellow in the administrative domain.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

E. Career Development

1. Please rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow’s progress in the career development domain relative to their time until completion of the program, including areas like developing fellowship goals, career goals, attainment of licensure, and progress for job placement post-fellowship.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

F. Educational Activities

Yes	No*	Not Applicable	Performance Area
			Attend monthly MIRECC V-Tel Conferences (required)
			Attend Houston CoE career development and foundations in health services seminar series (Thursdays at 4; required for year 1)
			Attend weekly MEDVAMC clinical fellow seminar series (required for year 1)
			Attend MIRECC Grant Writing Seminar Series via teleconference (required)
			Optional didactics:: 1) MIRECC Manuscript Writing and Biostatistics Seminar 2) Houston CoE Signature Seminar Series (Mondays at noon) 3) BCM Psychiatry Grand Rounds

--	--	--	--

Comments:

G. Professional Issues and Conduct

1. Please rate the fellow's overall professional conduct (independence, dependability, professional relationships, patient relationships, ability to manage person stress, etc).

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

2. Please rate the fellow's overall conduct with regards to ethics and legal issues (sensitivity and knowledge of ethical and legal issues, adequacy and appropriateness of clinical documentation, knowledge and application of research ethics, etc.)

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

H. Cultural Diversity

1. Please rate the fellow's cultural knowledge and appreciation of ethical/cultural and individual differences in working with others, planning/conducting research, or clinical interventions

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

Conclusion

Please summarize the fellow's strengths:

Please summarize the fellow's areas for growth, developmental needs, or remediation plans, if necessary.

Preceptor / Date

Postdoctoral fellow/Date

Houston SC MIRECC Advanced Fellowship in Psychology Semi-Annual Mentor Evaluation of Fellow

Fellow: _____

Date: _____

Preceptor: _____

=====

Mentors may not be able to provide ratings for all of the Fellowship Domains. If a mentor cannot provide feedback, the mentor should check the "not applicable" box after the domain heading.

=====

A. Scientific Thinking and Research Skills / Experiences

Fellowship Research Performance Domains:

<i>Research Projects</i>
Work productively with mentoring team to define a research program
Design pilot research project. Understand how pilot project relates to obtaining subsequent funding.
Collect/analyze data for pilot project
Collaborate with other investigators on projects (minimum of 1, expectation of 2)
<i>Research Presentations</i>
Scientific Job Talk each year (research initiatives, present pilot data)
National conference presentation each year (required)
<i>Research Publications</i>
Submit 1-2 first author manuscripts (required)
Submit 1-2 manuscripts as co-author (required)
<i>Grant Writing</i>
Participate in manuscript and grant reviews
Submit a SC MIRECC pilot study or request for noncompetitive MIRECC funding
Submit a career development award (encouraged but not required)

1. Please rate the overall competence and progress of the fellow in the research domain.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

2. Please rate the fellow's general use of mentoring and research supervision.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

B. Intervention, Consultation, and Assessment Skills

- Not applicable to this mentoring relationship

1. Please rate the overall competence of the fellow in the clinical domain, including providing group and individual therapy, evidence-based practices, assessment, cultural competence, ethics, and professionalism.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

C. Teaching, Supervision, and Personnel Management Activities

- Not applicable to this mentoring relationship

1. Please rate the overall competence and progress of the fellow in the teaching domain, including teaching seminars, supervising interns/residents, or supervising research staff.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

D. Administrative / Systemic Skills

- Not applicable to this mentoring relationship

1. Please rate the overall competence and progress in the administrative domain relative to their time until completion of the program. Administration can include working with VA administrative databases, participating in program evaluation, developing or implementing patient care service improvements, obtaining supervised training in program administration or participating in administrative research or clinical committees.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

E. Career Development

- Not applicable to this mentoring relationship

1. Please rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow's progress in the career development domain relative to their time until completion of the program, including developing fellowship goals, career goals, attainment of licensure, and job placement post-fellowship.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

F. Educational Activities

Comments (if applicable):

G. Professional Issues and Conduct

1. Please rate the fellow's overall professional conduct (independence, dependability, professional relationships, patient relationships, ability to manage person stress, etc).

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

2. Please rate the fellow's overall conduct with regards to ethics and legal issues (sensitivity and knowledge of ethical and legal issues, adequacy and appropriateness of clinical documentation, knowledge and application of research ethics, etc.)

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory

- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

H. Cultural Diversity

1. Please rate the fellow's appreciation of ethical/cultural and individual differences in working with others, planning/conducting research and/or clinical interventions.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

Conclusion

Summarize the fellow's strengths at this point in the fellowship:

Summarize the fellow's developmental needs at this point in the fellowship:

Mentor / Date

Postdoctoral fellow/Date

**VA Special Fellowship Program in Advanced Psychology
Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center
Training Director Evaluation**

Fellow: _____

Date: _____

Director: _____

Director shall review the most recent Preceptor, Mentor, and Clinical Supervisor evaluations as well as the Fellow's most recent quarterly progress report. These documents will be discussed with Fellow. Director ratings will be based on this review and discussion as well as any additional information obtained from the fellow, preceptor, mentoring team, or clinical supervisors.

Preceptor: _____

Mentoring Team:

- | | |
|----------|----------|
| 1) _____ | 3) _____ |
| _____ | |
| 2) _____ | 4) _____ |
| _____ | |

A. Scientific Thinking and Research Skills / Experiences

A1. Rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow's progress in the research domain relative to time until completion of the program. (Refer to Objective A in Training Manual – Manuscripts, Presentations and Job Talks, Projects, Grants)

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

A2. Rate the fellow's general use of mentoring and research supervision. (Refer to Objective A in Training Manual)

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory

- Partially Successful*
 - Not Satisfactory*
- * requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

B. Intervention, Consultation, and Assessment Skills (refer to Objective B in Training Manual)

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No*	Received training in advanced clinical practice that included direct provision of care to patients (minimum 8-10 hours per week).
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No*	Ratings from clinical supervisors at the fully satisfactory level or better (see separate clinical evaluations).

Rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow's progress in the clinical domain relative to their time until completion of the program.

- Outstanding
 - Highly Successful
 - Fully Satisfactory
 - Partially Successful*
 - Not Satisfactory*
- * requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

C. Teaching and Supervision Activities

Rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow's progress in the teaching domain relative to their time until completion of the program. (Refer to Objective C in Training Manual)

- Outstanding
 - Highly Successful
 - Fully Satisfactory
 - Partially Successful*
 - Not Satisfactory*
- * requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

D. Administrative / Systemic Skills

Please rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow's progress in the administrative domain relative to their time until completion of the program. (Refer to Objective D in Training Manual)

- Outstanding
 - Highly Successful
 - Fully Satisfactory
 - Partially Successful*
 - Not Satisfactory*
- * requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

E. Career Development

Please rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow's progress in the career development domain relative to their time until completion of the program. (Refer to Objective E in Training Manual)

- Outstanding
 - Highly Successful
 - Fully Satisfactory
 - Partially Successful*
 - Not Satisfactory*
- * requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

F. Educational Activities

Yes	No*	Not Applicable	Performance Area
			Attend monthly MIRECC V-Tel Conferences (required)
			Attend Houston CoE career development and foundations in health services seminar series (Thursdays at 4; required for year 1)
			Attend weekly MEDVAMC clinical fellow seminar series (required for year 1)
			Attend MIRECC Grant Writing Seminar Series via teleconference (required)
			Optional didactics:: 1) MIRECC Manuscript Writing and Biostatistics Seminar 2) Houston CoE Signature Seminar Series (Mondays at noon) 3) BCM Psychiatry Grand Rounds

Please rate the overall appropriateness of the fellow’s progress in the educational domain relative to their time until completion of the program.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

G. Professional Issues and Conduct

1. Please rate the fellow's overall professional conduct (independence, dependability, professional relationships, patient relationships, ability to manage person stress, etc).

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

2. Please rate the fellow's overall conduct with regards to ethics and legal issues (sensitivity and knowledge of ethical and legal issues, adequacy and appropriateness of clinical documentation, knowledge and application of research ethics, etc.)

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

H. Cultural Diversity

1. Please rate the fellow's appreciation of ethical/cultural and individual differences in working with others, planning/conducting research, and/or clinical interventions.

- Outstanding
- Highly Successful
- Fully Satisfactory
- Partially Successful*
- Not Satisfactory*

* requires comment in professional development section

Comments:

Conclusion

Summarize the fellow's strengths:

Summarize the fellow's developmental needs or needs for a remediation plan that might be necessary at this point in the fellowship:

Director / Date

Postdoctoral fellow/Date

**Houston SC MIRECC Advanced Fellowship in Psychology
Fellow Evaluation of Clinical Supervisor**

Name of Supervisor: _____

Date of Evaluation: _____

Dates of Clinical Rotation: _____

Briefly describe the clinical, assessment, consultation, and supervision experiences you participated in on this rotation:

Please rate this clinical rotation and your supervisor using the ratings listed below.

Ratings

N/A	1*	2*	3	4	5
	Not Satisfactory	Minimally Satisfactory	Fully Satisfactory	Highly Satisfactory	Outstanding

* please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

A. Clinical Rotation

Rating	Training Area
	Overall quality of clinical experience
	Received training advanced clinical practice that included direct provision of care to patients (rotation 8-10 hours per week)
	Quality of individual therapy experiences
	Quality of group therapy experiences
	Quality of assessment experiences
	Quality of consultation experiences
	Availability of supervision training
	Quality of supervision training
	Quality of training in evidence-based intervention methods
	Availability of program evaluation experiences
	Quality of program evaluation experiences
	Availability of other administrative experiences. Please describe:
	Quality of administrative experiences.
	Quality of didactic experiences / directed readings.
	Overall ability to integrate research into clinical practice during this rotation.

Ratings

N/A

1*

2*

3

4

5

Not
Satisfactory

Minimally
Satisfactory

Fully
Satisfactory

Highly
Satisfactory

Outstanding

* please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

B. Supervision

Rating	Training Area
	Overall rating of supervisor
	Quality of clinical supervision
	Enthusiasm for teaching
	Regularity of individual supervision
	Regularity of group supervision (if applicable)
	Overall teaching ability
	Ability to establish rapport/relate effectively
	Ability to establish goals/monitor progress
	Ability to facilitate career development
	Timely and appropriate feedback
	Dependability
	Sensitivity
	Assistance with professional development

Please rate your clinical supervisor's success in helping you develop your clinical skills

Highly successful

Successful

Minimally Successful

Not Successful

Comments:

Houston SC MIRECC Advanced Fellowship in Psychology

Exit Interview

1. Summarize your overall impressions of the Fellowship Program. Was the program a good match for you in terms of your career development goals?
2. How well did the program meet your needs for advanced research training?
3. How well did the program meet your needs for advanced clinical training?
4. How well did the program meet your needs for teaching and training in supervision?
5. How well did the program meet your needs for the development of administrative / systemic skills (e.g. administrative databases, program evaluation, clinical program development, etc)?
6. How did the program meet your needs for general career / professional development?
How well did your fellowship training prepare you for your current position?
7. Additional comments:

In general, what is your rating of the Fellowship Program?

- Superior*
- Above Average*
- Average*
- Below Average*
- Poor*

Houston SC MIRECC Psychology Fellowship
Fellow Quarterly Progress Report

(over time, simply add experiences, goals, etc., to this “living” document)

Date of Report: _____

Fellow: _____

Start Date: _____ End Date: _____

Last Meeting: _____

I. Training and Career Development Goals (edit with the assistance of your mentoring team)

A. Professional Development Goals (e.g., your 5-year plan)

B. Fellowship Goals for Research Products:

C. Fellowship Clinical Goals:

D. Fellowship Training Goals (methodological/analytical training, grant writing, etc):

E. Other Fellowship Goals (teaching, education, administration, professional development – e.g., EPPP/licensure):

II. Current Experiences/ Plans for next 3 months

A. Research Projects (current status and goals for the next 3 months for each project)

- 1) Principal Investigator
- 2) Co-Investigator

B. Manuscripts (current status and goals for the next 3 months for each manuscript)

C. Posters / Presentations (current status and goals for the next 3 months for each poster/presentation)

D. Educational Experiences (please list all current participation and expected educational experiences for the next 3 months)

E. Clinical Rotations (provide information on the site, supervisor, and a brief description of the clinical work currently and for the upcoming 3 months)

F. Administrative / Systemic Experiences (current and anticipated work- administrative database exposure, program evaluation, patient care quality improvement efforts, exposure to administrative bodies related to applied research or clinical practice)

G. Professional Development (licensure, job search, networking)

Houston SC MIRECC Advanced Fellowship in Psychology
Fellow Semi-Annual Evaluation of Program

Name _____

Date _____

Ratings

N/A	1*	2*	3	4	5
	Not	Minimally	Fully	Highly	Outstanding
	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	

* please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

A. Research

Rating	Training Area
	Overall quality of research training
	Overall primary mentor rating
	Overall mentor team rating
	Regularity of individual supervision/mentoring – primary mentor
	Regularity of individual supervision/mentoring – secondary and content mentors
	Regularity of mentor team meetings
	Developing a research focus
	Designing a pilot research project
	Project Management (e.g. IRB and VA research approvals, collecting data, managing personnel)
	Analyzing data from pilot project
	Presentations
	Manuscript Preparation
	Grant writing and grant reviews
	Collaborations as co-investigator

Please rate the program's success in meeting your personal research training needs

Highly successful Successful Minimally Successful Not Successful

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
 Not Minimally Fully Highly Outstanding
 Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory
 * please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

B. Clinical Care

Rating	Training Area
	Overall rating of clinical training experiences
	Received training in advanced clinical practice that included direct provision of care to patients (rotation 8-10 hours per week)
	Overall rating of clinical supervisors
	Training directed at independent practice skill building

Please rate the program's success in meeting your personal clinical care training needs

Highly successful *Successful* *Minimally Successful* *Not Successful*

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
 Not Minimally Fully Highly Outstanding
 Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory
 * please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

C. Educational and Teaching Component

Rating	Training Area
	Overall rating of educational experiences
	V-Tel seminar series
	Bi-weekly professional development seminar (year 1 only)
	Weekly MEDVAMC fellowship seminars
	Weekly HCQCUS research seminars
	Annual MIRECC retreat
	MIRECC national conference events
	Training in job talks and professional presentations
	Opportunity to teach / present to colleagues and/or community
	Ability to supervise others
	Quality of training related to supervision of others

Please rate the program’s success in providing educational programming relevant to your development

Highly successful *Successful* *Minimally Successful* *Not Successful*

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
Not Minimally Fully Highly Outstanding
Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory
* please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

D. Administrative / Systemic Components

Rating	Training Area
	Overall rating of administrative / systemic training opportunities
	VA administrative database exposure
	Clinical program evaluation
	Clinical program development
	Program administration related to improving mental health care quality

Please rate the program's success in helping with your career development

Highly successful *Successful* *Minimally Successful* *Not Successful*

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
Not Minimally Fully Highly Outstanding
Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory
* please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

E. Career Development Components

Rating	Training Area
	Overall career development training and mentoring
	Training in leadership and how to pursue leadership opportunities
	Preparation for post-fellowship career opportunities

Please rate the program's success in helping with your career development

Highly successful *Successful* *Minimally Successful* *Not Successful*

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
Not Minimally Fully Highly Outstanding
Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory
* please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

F. Preceptor Evaluation

Is your preceptor also your primary research supervisor? Yes No

Progress Score	Performance Area
	Overall preceptor rating
	Quality of research mentoring
	Enthusiasm for teaching
	Availability
	Timely and appropriate feedback
	Dependability
	Sensitivity
	Assistance with professional development

Please rate your preceptor’s success in helping you obtain your professional goals

Highly successful *Successful* *Minimally Successful* *Not Successful*

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
 Not Satisfactory Minimally Satisfactory Fully Satisfactory Highly Satisfactory Outstanding
 * please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

G1. Mentor Evaluation

Name of Mentor: _____

Type of Mentor:

Primary
Secondary
Content – Area: _____

Progress Score	Performance Area
	Overall mentor rating
	Quality of research mentoring
	Enthusiasm for teaching
	Availability
	Timely and appropriate feedback
	Dependability
	Sensitivity
	Assistance with professional development

Please rate your mentor’s success in helping you develop your skills and career

Highly successful *Successful* *Minimally Successful* *Not Successful*

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
 Not Satisfactory Minimally Satisfactory Fully Satisfactory Highly Satisfactory Outstanding
 * please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

G2. Mentor Evaluation

Name of Mentor: _____

Type of Mentor:

Primary

Secondary

Content – Area: _____

Progress Score	Performance Area
	Overall mentor rating
	Quality of research mentoring
	Enthusiasm for teaching
	Availability
	Timely and appropriate feedback
	Dependability
	Sensitivity
	Assistance with professional development

Please rate your mentor’s success in helping you develop your skills and career

Highly successful

Successful

Minimally Successful

Not Successful

Comments:

Ratings

N/A **1*** **2*** **3** **4** **5**
Not Minimally Fully Highly Outstanding
Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory

* please provide comment and suggestions for improvement

G3. Mentor Evaluation

Name of Mentor: _____

Type of Mentor:

Primary

Secondary

Content – Area: _____

Progress Score	Performance Area
	Overall mentor rating
	Quality of research mentoring
	Enthusiasm for teaching
	Availability
	Timely and appropriate feedback
	Dependability
	Sensitivity
	Assistance with professional development

Please rate your mentor’s success in helping you develop your skills and career

Highly successful

Successful

Minimally Successful

Not Successful

Comments:

G. Conclusion

Please summarize the program's effectiveness of helping you attain your goals:

Summarize any areas of improvement the program needs:

Postdoctoral fellow / Date

Preceptor / Date